THE ANTIEMPIRICAL INSANITY OF WESTERN PHILOSOPHY

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright ©2025 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3
THE RED-RED COALITION 4
FREE TRADE VERSUS SLAVERY 6
RED UPRISING HITS AMERICA 9
AMERICA TODAY: MASS MURDER BY THE MARXIST MAJORITY 11
BRITAIN'S NOT WORKING 13
AMERICAN JURISPRUDENCE: TWO SCHOOLS KILLING THE CONSTITUTION 16
DEMOCRAT PARTY HOODLUMS 18
THE ANTIEMPIRICAL INSANITY OF WESTERN PHILOSOPHY 21
THE MANY FACES OF MARXISM 23
NEW MILLENNIUM GERMANY: NEW JACKBOOTS CRUSH DISSENT 26
REDS REPAINT JOAN OF ARC 28
EASTERN WORK ETHIC SHAMES AMERICA 31
RED ARMY IN ACADEMIA 33
AGITPROP SERVED HOT OR COLD 35
MARXIST-MUSLIM AXIS HITS FRANCE 38
DIVERSITY FOR ALL TIME 40
THE MUSLIM WEST 42
THE SON OF COMMUNISM 45
TRUMP VERSUS HARVARD 47
HIS ROYAL MAJESTY: ISLAM 49

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

THE RED-RED COALITION

World War II. Hitler's Germany proclaims itself to be the patron of Islam. The Wehrmacht distributes the handbook *Islam* to teach its soldiers how to behave correctly toward Muslims. German armies on the Eastern Front rebuild mosques, madrassas and prayer halls, and reestablish Muslim rituals and celebrations. German military authorities in the Eastern territories, the Balkans and North Africa promote Muslim language, iconography, the Quran and jihad, and promote Muslim violence. The Wehrmacht and the SS recruit tens of thousands of Muslims to fight. The Grand Mufti of Jerusalem becomes a friend and ally of Adolf Hitler and proclaims support for Hitler's hatred of Jews, capitalism, liberal democracy and the Enlightenment. The German army forms a thirteenth *Waffen* division comprised of Bosnian Muslims, called *Handschar. Waffen SS* formations in the East are provided with field mullahs and field imrams. Hitler joins forces with Islam in a common front war to exterminate Jews, hated symbols of Western reason, freedom, individualism and human rights, and establish a national socialist-Muslim world empire, ruled by the Fuhrer and the Grand Mufti, reaching from Berlin to Palestine: "The Fuhrer stated that Germany's fundamental attitude on these questions, as the Grand Mufti himself had already stated, was clear. Germany stood for uncompromising war against the Jews. That naturally included active opposition to the Jewish national home in Palestine, which was nothing other than a center, in the form of a state, for the exercise of destructive influence by Jewish interests. Germany was also aware that the assertion that the Jews were carrying out the functions of economic pioneers in Palestine was a lie. The work there was done only by the Arabs, not by the Jews. Germany was resolved, step by step, to ask one European nation after the other to solve its Jewish problem, and at the proper time to direct a similar appeal to nonEuropean nations as well." (Official record of meeting between Adolf Hitler and the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Haj Amin al-Husseini, on November 28, 1941, at the Reich Chancellery, *Documents on German Foreign Policy 1918-1945, Series D, Vol XIII*). Less than a century after the Third Reich was reduced to smoke and ashes, and its fearsome empire ground into dust, a new coalition of antisemites has arisen to carry on the struggle to exterminate Jews and the legacies of the Enlightenment they are held to represent. Less than a century after the swastika flags festooning the Third Reich were hurled into the dustbin of history, a new generation of Marxists and Muslims has formed a new coalition to fight Jews, Israel, America and the West. Continuing the campaign of Hitler's race Marxists and race Bolsheviks but marching under the flags of a new gender, race and class politics, a movement comprised of old time communists, radical feminists, gender ideologues, transgender ideologues, black nationalists and the black proletariat, the latest Marxists have joined forces with Muslims and restarted Hitler's gas chambers. These two totalitarian movements, marching under the flags of the Soviet Red Star and the Muslim Red Crescent Moon, aligned against Western liberal order have issued a manifesto of their values and aims, and to read it is to see a blazing red-red traffic light stop the movement of freedom, run it into a ditch, run it off a cliff, and run it into the ocean of cultural nonexistence. The manifesto of the new Marxist-Muslim axis of evil proclaims that a progressive society is a round the clock volcanic eruption of Jew hatred and Hamas terror. A progressive society is an enemy of liberal democratic values and a resolute hater and fighter of Jews. A progressive society is a violent society whose violence is directed against Jews, America and the West. A progressive society supports students, scholars, researchers and universities dedicated to abolishing liberal education and replacing it with antisemitic, antiAmerican and antWestern agitprop. A progressive society is an alliance between tribalist movements of gender, race and class and radical Muslim terror organizations. A progressive society is an antiAmerican coalition which seeks the destruction of freedom and its allies and outposts throughout the world. A progressive society seeks to dismantle the Enlightenment foundations of modern society. A progressive society supports universities run by Marxist and Muslim student mobs taking over administration offices,

campus buildings and freedom of speech, and storming the ramparts of civilization. A progressive society supports universities run by radical campus activists enforcing at the point of a gun the Marxist-Muslim story of America and Israel as lashes of capitalist oppression and the denial of rights to Arab and Palestinian terrorists. A progressive society promotes radicalism in all its forms and manifestations, from hatred of Jews to hatred of America to hatred of liberal democracy to the promotion of radical Islam to the promotion of radical doomsday environmentalism, and has declared total war on the West. A progressive society is an Islamo-leftist association for the extermination of Jews and the free world. A progressive society supports antisemitic academia, faculty and staff in their campaigns of hatred and violence. A progressive society supports higher education institutions in their mass mobilizations to attack and destroy Israel. A progressive society is a terror society worshiping at the altar of Hamas. A progressive society is a barbaric society seeking to wipe out everything decent and civilized in the world, beginning with America and Israel. A progressive society supports a university curriculum seeking to poison students' minds with antiAmerican, anticapitalist and antisemitic vitriol. A progressive society supports an educational system which tells students what to think and not how to think, and indoctrinates them to view capitalism as an illegitimate system. A progressive society supports, in the name of justice and liberation, open borders, the abolition of law and order, and the mass migration of Muslims who hate the West. A progressive society is a terror entity and terror dictatorship leading a global fight against America. A progressive society condemns capitalism as a regime of apartheid against blacks and Muslims. A progressive society condemns capitalism as a bestial imperialist power whose most monstrous faces are America and Israel. A progressive society supports universities run by violent, aggressive protestors who disrupt antiMarxist and antiMuslim campus events and force the administration to condemn proAmerican ideas, traditions and institutions. A progressive society is rallying cry and call to arms to communist activists. A progressive society is run by political extremists and radical elements plucked from the fringes and cesspools of civilized society. A progressive society is a global uprising and rebellion against the legacies of the Enlightenment and their embodiment in Israel, an uprising conducted in the name of Palestinian liberation. A progressive society condemns capitalism as an empire suppressing popular liberation. A progressive society targets Americans and Israelis for murder in the name of justice for Palestine. A progressive society has declared war on America because it embodies the language, theory and practice of liberty. A progressive society has declared war on America because it is the freest and most prosperous nation in modern times. A progressive society is a nsocialist, authoritarian society marching under the banners of Marxism and Islam. A progressive society is run by state violence, mob violence and mass intimidation directed against the voices of liberalism, capitalism and freedom of speech. A progressive society supports university protests and social media propaganda directed against the security of Western nations. A progressive society supports movements of destabilization, subversion and revolt directed against liberal institutions throughout the world. A progressive society supports the demons of the world, from China to Iran to Qatar to Venezuela, in their war against the West. A progressive society is a radical activist society which supports the Houthis and Hezbollah and opposes all American military efforts to defeat the forces of Muslim terror. A progressive society condemns capitalism as a regime of American and Israeli terrorism. A progressive society demands open borders for Western nations so that they will one day cease to exist. A progressive society seeks, in the name of humanitarianism, to abolish the strength of free nations, the identity of free nations and the ability of free nations to defend themselves. A progressive society is run by universities, media outlets and political institutions seeking to subvert free nations from within. A progressive society is an extremist organization opposed to law enforcement, respect for individual rights, and the existence of America and Israel, an organization which seeks to blot out the beacons of Western civilization throughout the world: "What do a Yale scholar, a Columbia student, a Georgetown researcher, 60 colleges and universities under investigation

for relentless antisemitic eruptions, and Hamas, have in common? In traditional times, the answer should be absolutely nothing. Institutions like Yale, Harvard, UPenn, Georgetown, and Columbia were once guardians of liberal democratic values, committed to fighting hatred and violence. Yet, today, this is far from the case. A dangerous alliance has formed between the progressive movement in the United States and radical Muslim groups, using the guise of victimhood to create an antiAmerican coalition. Though antisemitic and antiIsrael at its core, the ultimate goal of this Red-Green coalition is far broader: the systematic destruction of America from within. To accomplish its goal the movement has exploited American institutions, using democracy itself as a tool to undermine the very values that have made the United States the world's most successful democracy. For years, the Islamo-Leftist alliance has been laying the groundwork to infiltrate academia. Starting with faculty and staff before trickling down to students. With foreign funding funneled into higher education institutions, this campaign of radicalization has steadily gained ground. The results became undeniable after the Hamas–October 7 barbaric attack on Israel, when the alliance mobilized in force, targeting America and its closest ally, Israel. Over the past several years, the Red-Green network of terror sympathizers have systematically radicalized young minds, fostering a generation that views America as an illegitimate entity. They push for open borders, the abolition of law and order, and the delegitimization of democratic governance–all under the banner of justice and liberation. But their goals are clear: to dismantle the very foundations of American society." November 28, 1941, the Reich Chancellery in Berlin. A photograph which will live forever in the archives of infamy shows Adolf Hitler in a meeting with the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Haj Amin Al Husseini. They are sitting and conversing about the destruction of the Jews, the Enlightenment and the West. In the twenty first century, this photograph has been updated by the march of events. The picture of the fuhrer has been replaced by a Marxist monster named *Gender, Race and Class Politics*. The picture of the Grand Mufti has been replaced by a Muslim monster named *Global Terror*. The updated picture is still recognizable. Its terrifying meaning has remained the same.

FREE TRADE VERSUS SLAVERY

Free trade is the policy opposing all restrictions on a nation's imports and exports. It is a cardinal element of a free society which does not view the individual as born into the chains of social responsibility. It is a corollary of the recognition that the individual is a free born agent and has a right to make decisions in accordance with his own self interest. The opposite of free trade is trade protectionism, the policy of restricting trade through the imposition of import tariffs, and it is based on the mystical premise that the individual is born as a slave of the community and has a duty to support the industries of his community by paying higher prices for the goods they produce. The policy of free trade is based on rational individualism, the view that the individual is the primary existent and is born free, while the policy of trade protectionism is based on mystical collectivism, the view that the individual exists to serve the interests of his neighbor within a higher supernatural, social organism, and is not born free. **Free Trade.** The policy of free trade was championed in Britain, the birthplace of modern freedom, and during the Enlightenment, which championed reason and individual rights. Listen to the voices of free trade affirm the individual's right to exist for himself and to be free from the demands of economic nationalism. Listen to Adam Smith describe the liberal system of zero tariffs within a mighty empire of freedom: "Were all nations to follow the liberal system of free exportation and free importation, the different states into which a great continent was divided would so far resemble the different provinces of a great empire. As among the different provinces of a great empire the freedom of the inland trade appears, both from reason and experience, not only the best palliative of a dearth, but the most effectual preventative of a famine; so would the freedom of the exportation and importation trade be among the different states into which a great continent was divided." (*The Wealth*

Of Nations by Adam Smith). Listen to David Ricardo champion the free exchange of goods between nations. Listen to him champion the most advantageous and productive distribution of labor in the world. Listen to him champion the wealth and abundance produced in all nations by the policy of zero tariffs: "Adam Smith, in his observations on colonial trade, has shown most satisfactorily, the advantages of free trade, and the injustice suffered by colonies in being prevented by their mother countries from selling their produce at the dearest market, and buying their manufactures and stores at the cheapest. He has shown that by permitting every country freely to exchange the produce of its industry when and where it pleases, the best distribution of the labor of the world will be effected, and the greatest abundance of the necessaries and enjoyments of human life will be secured. He has attempted also to show that this freedom of commerce, which undoubtedly promotes the interest of the whole, promotes also that of each particular country; and that the narrow policy adopted in the countries of Europe respecting their colonies is not less injurious to the mother countries themselves,than to the colonies whose interests are sacrificed." (*On The Principles Of Political Economy And Taxation* by David Ricardo, Chapter 25). Free trade means the right of individuals to buy the goods they choose without direction from the state through the imposition of tariffs. It means a moral society in which individuals are not subjected to social engineering via tariffs and are left free to choose the nations from which they will buy goods. It means increased competition among producers, lower prices for consumers, and more wealth placed into the hands of the people. It means the end of mass immiseration and impoverishment by its provision of far cheaper goods and vastly increased purchasing power to consumers: "The policy of free trade—citizens freely buying and selling goods and services across borders without government interference—is under greater attack today than it has been in decades. Despite the fact that American public support for trade and globalization is at an all time high, politicians, pundits, and a growing cadre of wonks on both the left and the right have become increasingly hostile to the long standing US political consensus in favor of multilateral trade liberalization. This hostility, however, is mostly misguided. Although it contains certain nuggets of truth about, for example, Chinese mercantilism or onerous trade agreement rules, the case for free trade —economic, geopolitical, and, perhaps most of all, moral—is as strong today as it was when Adam Smith wrote *The Wealth of Nations* almost 250 years ago. Trade and globalization have provided undeniable economic benefits for the vast majority of American families, businesses, and workers. Most obvious are the consumer gains. Several recent studies have found that freer trade with China, for example, has generated, through increased competition and lower prices, hundreds of billions of dollars in US consumer benefits—benefits that, according to economists Xavier Jaravel and Erick Sager, are the equivalent of giving every American '$260 of extra spending per year for the rest of their lives.' Consumer gains from imports, in general tilted toward the poor and the middle class, are especially tilted toward them when it comes to goods that are made in China and sold at stores like Walmart. The magnitude of such benefits also debunks the well worn myth that free trade is mainly about cheap T shirts. Indeed, trade's consumer surplus is a big reason that Americans today work far fewer hours to own far better essentials than at any prior time in US history." (*The Case For Free Trade* by Scott Lincicome). **Slavery.** Now listen to the voices opposed to free trade and hear the marching, the goose stepping, the seig heiling and the upraised fists pounding the air, exalting the dark gods of social protection and the welfare of society. Listen to Karl Marx condemn the world of human rights and freedoms, the world of egoism, self interest, cash payments, compensation for work performed and free international trade, as lashes of human oppression, exploitation and brutality: "The bourgeois, wherever it has got the upper hand, has put an end to all feudal, patriarchal, idyllic relations. It has pitilessly torn asunder the motley feudal ties that bound man to his 'natural superiors', and has left remaining no other nexus between man and man than pure self interest, than callous cash payment.' It has drowned the most heavenly ecstasies of religious fervor, of chivalrous enthusiasm, of philistine sentimentalism, in

the icy water of egoistical calculation. It has resolved personal worth into exchange value, and in place of the numberless indefeasible chartered freedoms, has set up that single, unconscionable freedom—Free Trade. In one word, for exploitation veiled by religious and political illusions, it has substituted shameless, direct, brutal exploitation." (*Communist Manifesto* by Karl Marx, Chapter 1). Listen to Bernie Sanders defend government aggression, interference and the manipulation of behavior through the imposition of tariffs. Listen to him defend these, and condemn free trade, in the name of protecting manufacturing, industrial power, the middle class and jobs for the people. Listen to him condemn free trade as an instrument of capitalist CEO oppression and exploitation of the working class. Listen to him refuse to confront the reality that manufacturing workers throughout the West have lower productivity than their counterparts in the East, the reality that they luxuriate in the dens of Western cultural decline, the reality that they do not earn the wages they receive, and listen to him demand import tariffs to protect them from hardworking competition emanating from the East: "Let's be clear: one of the major reasons that the middle class in America is disappearing, poverty is increasing and the gap between the rich and everyone else is growing wider and wider is due to our disastrous unfettered free trade policy. If the United States is to remain a major industrial power producing real products and creating good paying jobs we must develop a new set of trade policies which work for the American middle class and working class and not just for the CEOs of large corporations. In other words, we must rebuild our manufacturing sector and, once again, manufacture products that are made in the United States of America. Mr President, over the last decade, more than 50,000 manufacturing plants in this country have shut down; over 5.5 million factory jobs have disappeared; and we now have fewer manufacturing jobs today than we did in May of 1941. Back in 1970, 25 percent of all jobs in the United States were manufacturing jobs. Today, that figure is down to just 9 percent. In July of 2000, there were 17.3 million manufacturing workers in this country. Today, there are only 11.7 million manufacturing workers." (*Senate Speech By Senator Bernie Sanders On Unfettered Free Trade*, October 12, 2011). Listen to today's economic nationalists condemn free trade and defend Western levels of worker indolence and low productivity. Listen to them demand protection from the East in the form of import tariffs in order to save high wage Western manufacturing jobs. Listen to them seek to deny the East access to Western markets and seek to repopulate the Rust Belts corroding the West with idle, decadent, proletarian kings: "For the US, it is not David Ricardo who matters, it is David Autor, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) economist and the coiner of the term *China shock*. In 2001, as the world was distracted by the aftermath of 9/11, China joined the World Trade Organization (WTO), having relatively free access to US markets, and so transforming the global economy. Living standards, growth, profits and stock markets boomed in the US as China's workforce migrated from the rural fields to the coastal factories to produce exports more cheaply for US consumers. It was a classic example of the functioning of 'comparative advantage'. China generated trillions of dollars, much of which was reinvested in the US, in the form of its government bonds, helping keep interest rates down. Everyone was a winner. Well, not quite. Essentially US consumers en masse got richer with cheaper goods, but the quid pro quo was a profound loss of manufacturing to East Asia. Autor's calculation was that by 2011, this China shock saw the loss of one million US manufacturing jobs, and 2.4 million jobs overall. These hits were geographically concentrated in the Rust Belt and the south." (*Trump Has Turned His Back On The Foundation Of US Economic Might--The Fallout Will Be Messy* by Faisal Islam). In the twenty first century, free trade is under attack by statists and socialists of all stripes and varieties. It is under attack by populists marching under the flags of Marxism and national socialism. It is under attack by the voices of antiglobalism who reject the global liberal capitalist order envisioned by the Enlightenment. It is under attack by communists and fascists constructing tariff walls to keep out human freedom and progress. It is under attack by the voices of antireason and antiindividualism, a deafening chorus which has brought down the West.

RED UPRISING HITS AMERICA

November 7, 1917. Vladimir Lenin's Bolsheviks stage an insurrection in Petrograd and storm the Winter Palace, seat of the Provisional Government. Seeking to overthrow the Russian Republic led by Alexander Kerensky establishing liberal democracy for the first time in Russian history, Lenin's Red Guards plunge the nation into a civil war, from which it would emerge in 1922 with the victory of communism and the creation of the Soviet Union. The Russian Revolution ignited an international communist firestorm which declared war on free institutions and brought the West into its final chapter. November 7, 1917. The date of the founding of a terror state in Russia which would endure for seven decades, a date still celebrated by communist parties and movements in the present day, a date which will live forever in the annals of organized state criminality. Less than a generation after the five point star, hammer and sickle flag of the former Soviet Union was lowered for the last time over the Kremlin, the forces of communist insurrection are back in business. They are back in business in a land 9000 kilometers away, back in business in the former leader of the free world, back in business, illustrating by their target just how sick the West has become in the twenty first century. In the twenty first century, the forces of communist insurrection have struck the United States of America and brought the spirit of November 7, 1917 into every home, school and office in the nation. On April 5, 2025, this fateful Russian day rose up from history and darkened the skies of America. On April 5, 2025, the Winter Palace was stormed again, the Red Guards took over cities again, the mob descended by the millions again, and committees and soviets of subversion sprung up like poisonous mushrooms again. On April 5, 2025, Lenin returned to lead the American masses in a nationwide campaign, named *Hands Off!*, to resist Donald Trump's efforts to rein in the forces of radicalism, keep the Marxist monster of gender, race and class politics at bay, and make America great again by making it free again. On April 5, 2025, one half of the nation, the far left half which opposes Trump, staged an attempted insurrection and made a public declaration of war against the Enlightenment foundations of the nation, a declaration heard around the world, a declaration which galvanized Marxists from Berlin to Paris to London to join in. On April 5, 2025, one hundred years of far left politics in America, transforming a constitutionally mandated liberal democracy into a mob mandated social democracy, went on dramatic, tub thumping, crowd roiling, ear splitting, window smashing, graffiti spraying, neighborhood occupying, gun toting display, and showed the world how entrenched, immovable and riveted to the culture Marxism and its offshoots are in the nation, and showed how Trump's efforts to halt and reverse the cancer of far left ideas are doomed to fail. Listen to the voice of America and hear it shout *Hands Off!* to those who oppose the welfare regulatory regime constructed since the turn of the twentieth century and seek to return the nation to its Western, Anglo-Saxon, Enlightenment roots in reason and liberty, and to return it to the words of the Constitution. Listen to the *Hands Off!* manifesto and hear the death knell toll for the America of Thomas Jefferson and Abraham Lincoln and the West of John Locke, William Blackstone, Edward Gibbon and Voltaire. From every street, city, state and region in the nation, the April 5 insurrectionists proclaimed that a progressive society is socially, culturally, economically and politically Marxist. A progressive society opposes free and fair trade between America and the world, and demands tariffs on American exports but no tariffs on goods imported into America. A progressive society establishes open borders for the migrant scum of the world and prohibits all raids, arrests, detentions and deportations involving illegal aliens. A progressive society is a sanctuary for international students of Islamic terror invading from all points, from Turkey to Tehran to Thailand to Trier. A progressive society condemns capitalism as a scourge which inflicts irreparable harm on women, nonwhites and the poor. A progressive society condemns capitalism as a regime funded and run by wealthy donors such as Elon Musk who lord it over the working class and bleed it white. A progressive society is run and funded by Marxist billionaires such as George Soros who bankroll the

armies of black power, black nationalism, black Marxism and Black Lives Matter. A progressive society defends the regime of welfare entitlements, the regime which robs Peter to pay Paul in order to fund Paul's health benefits, education benefits, retirement benefits and housing benefits, and does so in the name of protecting democratic rights. A progressive society is a socialist, racist, sexist society which discriminates against white males and calls its racism and sexism the achievement of diversity, equity, inclusion and affirmative action. A progressive society is a Marxist society run by Democrat presidents, judges and lawmakers whose remit is to guard the radical faith and keep out freedom forever: "Crowds of protesters gathered in cities across the US on Saturday to denounce President Trump, in the largest nationwide show of opposition since the president took office in January. The Hands Off protest planners aimed to hold rallies in 1,200 locations, including in all 50 US states. Hundreds of thousands of people turned out in Boston, Chicago, Los Angeles, New York and Washington DC, among other cities. Protesters cited grievances with Trump's agenda ranging from social to economic issues. Coming days after Trump's announcement that the US would impose import tariffs on most countries around the world, gatherings were also held outside the US, including in London, Paris and Berlin. In Boston, some protesters said they were motivated by immigration raids on US university students that have led to arrests and deportation proceedings. Law student Katie Smith told BBC News that she was motivated by Turkish international student Rumeysa Ozturk, whose arrest near Boston area Tufts University by masked US agents was caught on camera last month. 'You can stand up today or you can be taken later,' she said, adding: 'I'm not usually a protest girlie' In London, protesters held signs reading, *WTAF America?*, *Stop hurting people* and *He's an idiot*. In Washington DC, thousands of protesters gathered to watch speeches by Democratic lawmakers. Many remarks focused on the role played in Trump's administration by wealthy donors--most notably Elon Musk, who has served as an advisor to the president and spearheaded an effort to dramatically cut spending and the federal workforce. Florida Congressman Maxwell Frost denounced the 'billionaire takeover of our government.' 'When you steal from the people, expect the people to rise up. At the ballot box and in the streets,' he shouted. The protests come after a bruising week for the president and his allies. Republicans won a closely watched special Florida congressional election on Tuesday, but with slimmer margins than they had hoped. Wisconsin voters elected a Democratic judge to serve on the state supreme court, roundly rejecting a Musk backed Republican candidate by almost 10 percentage points. In both states, Democrats sought to tap into voter anger towards the Trump administration's policies and Elon Musk's influence. Some polls show approval ratings for President Trump to be slipping slightly. One Reuters/Ipsos poll released earlier this week found that his approval rating had dropped to 43%, its lowest point since Trump began his second term in January. When he was inaugurated on 20 January, his approval rating was 47%. One protester in Washington named Theresa told the BBC that she was there because 'we're losing our democratic rights.' 'I'm very concerned about the cuts they're making to the federal government,' she said, adding that she is also concerned about retirement and education benefits." (*AntiTrump Protests Held In Cities Across The US* by Robin Levinson King, Jenna Moon and Bernd Debusmann). On April 5, 2025, America proclaimed that a progressive society opens up the sewers and floods the streets with Marxist bacteria. A progressive society deprives people of their fundamental freedoms and establishes communism in the name of providing healthcare, education, social security and essential welfare services. A progressive society burns the Constitution and establishes communism in the name of saving America, loving America, unTrumping the world and defending democracy. A progressive society affirms that communist enslavement represents the highest form of human freedom and protection of civil rights. A progressive society condemns Donald Trump and Elon Musk because they defend the liberal system of free minds and free markets, tells them to keep their hands off communism, and orders their assassination: "Thousands of protesters gathered for various socalled Hands Off! rallies throughout the United States

to protest President Donald Trump and Elon Musk. A video posted to X by ABC News showed 'thousands of protesters' who had 'flooded the streets' in Boston, Massachusetts, as part of a Hands Off! rally. *Breitbart News's* Sean Moran previously reported that protesters were slated to gather across the nation on Saturday for the Hands Off! rallies to tell Trump and Musk to take their Hands Off! people's healthcare, Social Security, civil rights and their freedom and education. 'With Trump and congressional Republicans doubling down on their agenda to gut essential services, everyday Americans are sending a clear message: Take your hands off our healthcare, our Social Security, our civil rights, our freedom, and our education,' the organizers of the Hands Off! rallies said in a statement, according to CBS News. Other photos posted to X showed protesters gathered on the National Mall in Washington, DC for a Hands Off! protest. People could be seen holding signs that read, *Save America. UnTrump The World*, *If America Hasn't Broken Your Heart You Don't Love Her Enough*, and *Democracy Dies When We Stay Silent!*" (*Thousands Of Protesters Gather For Hands Off! Rallies Across US* by Elizabeth Weibel). November 7, 1917. Communists storm the Winter Palace and defeat the soldiers defending freedom. Communists beat down the door to the Provisional Government's chambers and arrest the voices of freedom. Communists make an official statement proclaiming the Provisional Government deposed and freedom in Russia abolished. Communists throughout the world celebrate the Soviet victory as they seek to foment world revolution and turn back the clocks to the year zero. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, the majority has voted, latter day, American style Soviets are cheering, and the clocks are racing backward.

AMERICA TODAY: MASS MURDER BY THE MARXIST MAJORITY

Execution. Assassination. Deportation. Starvation. Imprisonment. Genocide. Classicide. Democide. Politicide. Holocaust. Dekulakization. The Great Leap Forward. The Cultural Revolution. The Killing Fields. The universe of Marxist violence is multifarious and everexpanding, as deep and dark as the psychosis which brought it into being. Employing a vast array of methods, Marxist regimes and nonstate actors have rung up a death toll surpassing the number of victims claimed by all other political and religious movements in history. Invented by Karl Marx in the nineteenth century, this fearsome religion of the collective has a number attached to its mass killings which has defied the imagination of sane people. Marxist crimes against humanity have made 150 million men, women and children perish throughout the world. Marxism is a synonym for mass murder; it is a unique religion expressing impulses to kill for the sake of killing; and its appeal to psychopaths, to individuals who kill without rational reason and feel no remorse for their crimes, is intrinsic. In the twentieth century, the Marxist ethos and death wave hit the shores of a nation exalted as the leader of the free world. In an illustration of just how sick the West has become over the past one hundred years as it descends into extinction, Marxist killing has spread across the length and breadth of the United States of America and become the number one source of political violence in the nation. It has enjoyed widespread support and is a symptom of the disordered mind of the American people, who in the late stages of Western civilization have rejected the values of the Enlightenment and gone down the path of ancient Rome during its dying days. They have gone down the path of socialism and statism, and enacted a welfare regulatory regime in defiance of the Constitution. It is in defiance of the Constitution because the words *liberty* and *property* contained in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments refer to the existence of liberty and property in reality, and prevent the enactment of a socialist or statist society. It is in defiance of the Constitution because the phrase *due process of law* contained in the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments refers to the process of law due to the individual as a free born agent. It does not refer to democratic procedures but to fair and just procedures, and no fair or just procedures allow the government to deprive an individual of his rights who has not committed a specific act of force or fraud against

another, or allow the majority to enact socialism and statism. It is in defiance of the Constitution because the words *liberty*, *property* and *due process of law* prohibit the collectivization of the individual by the state, and mandate the establishment of a liberal, laissez faire capitalist society. In America in the twenty first century, a Marxist majority sits in the driver's seat and has issued a manifesto of political violence sounding the death knell of America as it was founded on the battlefields of Lexington and Concord, and as it endured for over a century thereafter. Listen to the manifesto and hear the voice of the new America, the America born in the twentieth century and intensifying in the twenty first century, the postliberal, postcapitalist, postEnlightenment America voted into power by the majority, and hear the targeting the nation's freedom fighters for total destruction. Lining the executive suites of the establishment and the canals of the masses' sewers, this manifesto proclaims that a progressive society normalizes violence against the opponents of the far left. A progressive society is a rifle aimed at freedom fighters such as Donald Trump and Elon Musk, who seek to make America great again by reducing the radical extra growths of the welfare regulatory state and making America somewhat free again, and it has opened fire on them. A progressive society composes social media narratives condemning capitalism as a regime of oppression and exploitation, and advocating the killing of those who defend it. A progressive society worships at the altar of deadly violence and sprays the gunfire of assassination at the presidents and CEOs of freedom. A progressive society is an ideologically regimented leftist killing machine. A progressive society is an online and offline regime of Marxist intimidation and terror. A progressive society advocates mass murder in the name of establishing access to health care. A progressive society is an assassination culture emanating from the extreme left. A progressive society promotes violence against the voices of constitutional liberty. A progressive society disseminates the language and discourse of Marxist gender, race and class wars. A progressive society rejects the political center and is a far left congregation for the doctrine of the faith. A progressive society justifies the destruction of private property in the name of achieving liberation from the capitalist scourge of Tesla dealerships. A progressive society is a nervous, excited, malarial, left wing fever swamp. A progressive society is a mentally, emotionally and psychologically unbalanced radical society. A progressive society is a perpetual motion machine for the formation of communist, neocommunist, feminist communist and black communist ideas. A progressive society is an amplification tower blasting out the Marxist rhetoric and reality of bullets and bombs. A progressive society affirms that political violence is justified if the targets are libertarians, conservatives and members of the Republican Party. A progressive society is governed by a presidential administration dedicated to the proposition that genocide against the class of whites, males, successful people and supporters of the Enlightenment is the only path to the liberation of the people: "Political violence targeting Donald Trump and Elon Musk is becoming increasingly normalized. Following the July 13, 2024 attempted assassination of President Trump, tolerance--and even advocacy--for political violence appears to have surged, especially among politically left leaning segments of the population. This pattern builds on a broader trend NCRI [National Contagion Rsearch Institute] identified in two December 2024 reports which analyzed how viral social media narratives were legitimizing political violence, particularly in the aftermath of the UnitedHealthcare CEO’s assassination. The reports found widespread justification for lethal violence--including assassination--among younger, highly online, and ideologically left aligned users. A spillover effect into offline domains is already occurring, as illustrated by a ballot measure recently submitted in California that is macabrely named *The Luigi Mangione Access To Health Care Act*. A broader assassination culture appears to be emerging within segments of the US public on the extreme left, with expanding targets now including figures such as Donald Trump and Elon Musk. NCRI empirically assessed this shift with original survey data and open source intelligence analysis to assess how normalized and justified violence against the administration has become in public discourse. The findings signal a threat to political stability and public safety. Key

data points include: ● Murder Justification: 31% and 38% of respondents stated it would be at least somewhat justified to murder Elon Musk and President Trump, respectively. These effects were largely driven by respondents that self identified as left of center, with 48% and 55% at least somewhat justifying murder for Elon Musk and President Trump, respectively, indicating significantly higher justification for violence against these figures. ● Property Destruction: Nearly 40% of respondents (39.8%) stated it is at least somewhat acceptable (or more) to destroy a Tesla dealership in protest. ● Psychological/Ideological Correlations with Assassination Culture: These beliefs are highly correlated with one another, as well as with the justification of the murder of the UnitedHealthcare CEO and hyperpartisan left wing ideology. This suggests that support for violence is part of a broader assassination culture. ● Online Amplification of Radical Ideation: BlueSky plays a significant and predictive role in amplifying radical ideation. Users are increasingly associating the memeification of Luigi Mangione with calls for political violence against Musk, Trump, and others, reflecting the growing cyber-social presence of assassination culture. To assess support for political violence, we surveyed 1264 US residents, balanced to reflect Census data on race/ethnicity, gender, age, and education. Respondents were asked demographic information, political identity, several political and psychological scales, and questions concerning their acceptance of specific forms of political violence. A score of 1 meant that the respondent considered political violence completely unjustified. Scores from 2 to 7 indicated that they believed there was at least some justification for political violence, and, in the case of those choosing 7, that it was completely justified. The survey revealed several troubling trends. Over half of those who self identified as left of center (55.2%) reported that if someone murdered Donald Trump, they would be at least somewhat justified. This includes 13% who said this murder would be 'Completely Justified.' Similarly, nearly half of those who self identified as left of center said the murder of Elon Musk would be somewhat justified (or greater), with about 9% saying this is 'Completely Justified'. Over ⅓ of all respondents believe it is at least somewhat acceptable to destroy Tesla dealerships to protest Elon Musk’s involvement in the Presidential administration." (*Assassination Culture: How Burning Teslas And Killing Billionaires Became A Meme Aesthetic for Political Violence*, National Contagion Research Institute). The extermination of the bourgeois. The extermination of peasants. The extermination of landowners. The extermination of Jews. The extermination of whites. The extermination of males. The extermination of those condemned as enemies of the people. The extermination of those whose words and actions are politically incorrect. The extermination of those who are intelligent, talented and successful. The history of Marxist and neoMarxist societies is a history written in blood. Extermination is the plot and theme of the Marxist story. In the twenty first century, a chapter opened in America.

BRITAIN'S NOT WORKING

On April 29, 1909, a bell sounded across Britain. It was a bell which had never before been sounded in the history of the nation. It was a bell unpleasant, disturbing and jarring to the community of civilized people still remaining in the land. It was a bell whose decibel breaking, ear splitting notes smashed everything Britain had stood for since its founding. It was a bell which blasted away one thousand years of Anglo-Saxon liberty. It was a bell as unBritish as the savage cultures Britain had liberated during its imperialist world advance. It was the bell of welfarism and wealth redistribution, and it was the death knell of the nation whose empire had been for centuries the leader and arsenal of the free world. Listen to the bell and hear just how sick Britain and the West were becoming around the turn of the twentieth century, and the see the depths of decadence into which they were descending. Rung by David Lloyd George and Winston Churchill, it was a bell which advocated communism in the name of a war against poverty. It advocated communism in the name of fighting human misery. It advocated

communism in the name of fighting human degradation. It advocated communism as the only path to the liberation of the people: "This is a war budget. It is for raising money to wage implacable warfare against poverty and squalidness. I cannot help hoping and believing that before this generation has passed away, we shall have advanced a great step towards that good time, when poverty, and the wretchedness and human degradation which always follows in its camp, will be as remote to the people of this country as the wolves which once infested its forests." (*The People's Budget* by David Lloyd George). Called the People's Budget, it was passed by the House of Commons and became law in April 1910, after being blocked by the Conservative Party freedom opposition in the House of Lords, which had stood athwart the onrushing mob for a year. In 1910, the people of Britain elected communism through the Liberal Party, which had abandoned true liberalism in response to mob demands, and set into motion an avalanche which would crash down a century later and bury Britain in welfare entitlements, and put the nation out of work. Before the introduction of the People's Budget, the Liberal Party had defined freedom to mean freedom from the state, and its shining career of antistatism was epitomized by the career of its leader, William Gladstone. The People's Budget signaled the rejection by the party of its namesake, the rejection of the philosophy of liberalism, and the adoption of communism under the dishonest flag *new liberalism*. Influenced by the Hegelian philosophers L. T. Hobhouse and Thomas Hill Green, and influenced by the growing power of the mob, the Liberal Party became a party of the left like the Labor Party, and these two parties put an end to freedom in the nation which gave birth to freedom in the modern world. Thus was born, with the passage of the People's Budget, the welfare state in Britain, and the history of the next one hundred years is a history of increasing welfarization of the nation and an increasing deemphasis on individual responsibility and the importance of work. It is a history progressing through the 1942 report *Social Insurance And Allied Services* in which the author, William Beveridge, to popular acclaim from coast to coast, advocated communism in the name of slaying "the five giants on the road of reconstruction: Want, Disease, Ignorance, Squalor and Idleness." The report formed the basis of the postwar British welfare state, enacted to popular acclaim from coast to coast, and the enactment of the three darkest symbols of British communism: 1946 National Insurance Act, the 1946 National Health Service Act and the 1948 National Assistance Act. From the 1910 Liberal Party People's Budget to these Labor Party postwar acts to Britain in the twenty first century is a straight line of expanding communism, and the present day represents the culmination of the revolution commenced by David Lloyd George and Winston Churchill. Look at Britain in the twenty first century and see what the mob, the majority, the Hegelian philosophers and the Liberal and Labor Parties have done to the nation. They have shredded the founding documents of British freedom, beginning with the *Magna Carta*, and written on the scraps a manifesto of communism the likes of which the nation has never seen before. Listen to it and hear the ghosts of the distant past and the recent past, the ghosts of decadent Rome and the ghosts of L. T. Hobhouse, Thomas Hill Green, Winston Churchill, David Lloyd George and William Beveridge, and hear them make Britain, the Britain of Anglo-Saxon liberty and the Britain of the Enlightenment, a dead letter. The manifesto of twenty first century proclaims that a progressive society will never reduce welfare benefits because any such reductions would be unbearably painful to the welfare class dependent on them and entitled to them. A progressive society is focused on one thing and one thing alone. It is focused on the provision of welfare and the policy of robbing Peter to support Paul for life. A progressive society is a welfare society funded by the mystical, fantastical roots of an infinite money tree. A progressive society can endlessly create money and endlessly spread it around to subsidize the people's welfare. A progressive society is an everexpanding welfare waterfall crashing over the cliffs of national bankruptcy. A progressive society is a religious society worshiping at the altar of *Welfare*, a god it does not question or criticize in any manner. A progressive society is a balloon marked *Welfare*, powered by the helium gas of the Keynesian fiat money press, and ascending into the stratosphere of

cradle to grave, round the clock entitlements. A progressive society is a nonstop government borrowing society and maxed out credit card stamped *platinum welfare club*. A progressive society is a confiscatory taxation and endless public spending society whose mission is to ensure that nobody ever has to work. A progressive society rejects the principles of economics and proclaims that people can receive something for nothing and be supported on welfare for life without paying for it. A progressive society is an out of control, welfare spending society which has transformed every man, woman and child into a ward of the state. A progressive society has abolished personal independence and relieved people of the responsibility of obtaining education, training and employment. A progressive society is a fiscally broken, welfare entitlement society, a society whose welfare course is irreversible and welfare spending uncurtailable, a society as morally and economic bankrupt as the West in its final, dying, Rome like days: "When Ben Chu was asked on BBC Two's *Newsnight* whether Labor had brought back austerity, the economics editor jumped straight into an analysis of benefits cuts. 'If we're talking about cuts in benefits then that does seem to be a reasonable description,' he said. 'Whether you call it austerity or not, it's going to be certainly very painful for those individuals.' The comments set the tone for the program–and the broadcaster's coverage of Rachel Reeves's Spring Statement more widely. For the BBC and other broadcasters, there appeared to be just one focus: welfare. Despite warnings about the growing unsustainability of Britain's benefits bill from both the left and the right, the BBC instead chose to treat welfare like a sacred cow. The economy is a magic money tree, they seem to claim, with more than enough money to go around if only the government of the day would put its mind to it. Yet in reality, Britain is getting poorer, the debt pile has surged and taxes are at a record high. Reeves is now casting around desperately for economic growth as the everexpanding welfare state threatens to push the country into bankruptcy. With parts of the broadcast media determined to paint any attempt to rein in benefits as negative, will it ever be possible to bring down our ballooning benefits bill? The BBC knows it has a problem. In 2020, two dozen economists wrote to the corporation complaining about its sloppy reporting, citing concerns about the use of phrases such as 'maxed out credit card' to describe government borrowing forecasts. The complaint prompted Tim Davie, the BBC's director general, to commission an independent review into reporting on taxation and public spending. Published in early 2023, the review found that while there was no systematic bias, BBC journalists 'lack understanding of basic economics.' Michael Blastland and Sir Andrew Dilnot, the experts who authored the report, added that there was an 'occasional temptation to hype,' putting impartiality at risk. The BBC's board asked bosses to address the issues raised and put together a plan of action. The broadcaster says it has made a number of changes as a result, including appointing a deputy economics editor and holding masterclasses for journalists, especially before key fiscal events. But two years after that review, the broadcaster is once again under scrutiny. The apparent opposition to any attempt to control runaway benefits spending has serious implications. Reeves was desperate to get across the gravity of the situation as she delivered her Spring Statement on Wednesday. 'This Government inherited a broken system. More than 1,000 people every day are qualifying for personal independence payments [PIP]. And one in eight young people are not in employment, education or training. If we do nothing, we are writing off an entire generation,' the Chancellor warned. By the time she had finished speaking, many of Labor's backbenchers were looking uncomfortable. Reeves had announced the biggest cuts to welfare since 2015, when austerity architect George Osborne was in charge. However, the £4.8bn of cuts scarcely touch the sides." (*Welfare Has Become The BBC's Sacred Cow. It's Destroying Britain* by James Warrington and Eir Nolsoe). *This happy breed of men, this little world, This precious stone set in the silver sea, Which serves it in the office of a wall, Or as a moat defensive to a house, Against the envy of less happier lands, This blessed plot, this earth, this realm, this England.* In the twenty first century, William Shakespeare's description of his native land in his play *Richard II* has lost all reality. This England of the sixteenth century was repealed four centuries later by

the mob juggernaut of the welfare state. Before the onrushing tides of health, housing, education, pension, disability, accident and unemployment benefits, the England of today is unrecognizable from the England of Shakespeare. In the twenty first century, England's moats and walls in defense of constitutional rights have been breached. Its land is no longer blessed by the rays of freedom but cursed by the scourge of communism. This "precious stone set in the silver sea" has been reduced to a radioactive fireball submerged in the fever swamps of the far left.

AMERICAN JURISPRUDENCE: TWO SCHOOLS KILLING THE CONSTITUTION

Poison looms large in literature and culture. It is present in murder stories, historical intrigues, the tactics of war, and political coups and conspiracies. It is present in plants, animals, household cleaners, medical cabinets and in rainwater runoff. Defined as a substance which can kill an organism if ingested or introduced in high enough quantities, poison is an invisible agent of death and can be found anywhere. Over the past one hundred years, in the law circles of the former leader and arsenal of the free world, a poison has spread which has killed the nation's Constitution. It is a poison which has killed jurisprudence, the science of the law, and rendered the Constitutional guarantees of freedom a dead letter. In an illustration of just how sick the West has become since the dawn of the twentieth century, lying helpless and prostrate before the onslaught of mob power, a poison has entered the legal bloodstream of the United States of America, and killed the practice of proper, objective, common sense interpretation of the Constitution. This poison has appeared in two vials, and one or another has been ingested by members of the United States Supreme Court, and it has prevented the court from enforcing the Bill of Rights and getting the government off the backs of the people as the Bill of Rights mandates that it do. This poison is the subjective interpretation of the Constitution, and it has appeared in the form of two schools. These schools are called the Living Constitution and Originalism. **The Living Constitution.** The proponents of the Living Constitution proclaim that the Constitution possesses a dynamic meaning. The Constitution does not need to be formally amended in order for it to sanction state actions not sanctioned in the Constitution's text but can be amended by judges obeying the will of the majority or the ideological currents of the age. The Constitution is a reflection of society's needs and interests and is a malleable tool in the hands of the government to change people's behavior. The Constitution can be transformed by judicial interpretation in accordance with the necessities of the time and the situation. The Constitution is a product of the everchanging environment and the everchanging pressures of life. The Constitution is a living organism whose actual words are meaningless and do not say what they say. The Constitution is a developing and evolving pseudotext whose words mean what each generation say they mean. The task of the Supreme Court is not to enforce the objective meaning of the Constitution but to give free rein to the ideas, plans, commands and drives of the executive and the legislature. The Constitution has no objective meaning but must bend and be shaped by the legislature and the executive, by the demands of the majority, and the Supreme Court must not check the power of the executive or the mob but must cooperate and coordinate with the executive and the mob, in a common task to establish socialism and statism in America: "[T]he Constitution of the United States had been made under the dominion of the Newtonian Theory. You have only to read the papers of *The Federalist* to see that fact written on every page. They speak of the 'checks and balances' of the Constitution, and use to express their idea the simile of the organization of the universe, and particularly of the solar system—how by the attraction of gravitation the various parts are held in their orbits; and then they proceed to represent Congress, the Judiciary, and the President as a sort of imitation of the solar system. The trouble with the theory is that government is not a machine, but a living thing. It falls, not under the theory of the universe, but under the theory of organic life. It is accountable to Darwin, not to Newton. It is modified by its environment, necessitated

by its tasks, shaped to its functions by the sheer pressure of life. No living thing can have its organs offset against each other, as checks, and live. On the contrary, its life is dependent upon their quick cooperation, their ready response to the commands of instinct or intelligence, their amicable community of purpose. Government is not a body of blind forces; it is a body of men, with highly differentiated functions, no doubt, in our modern day, of specialization, with a common task and purpose. Their cooperation is indispensable, their warfare fatal. There can be no successful government without the intimate, instinctive coordination of the organs of life and action." (*The New Freedom* by Woodrow Wilson). The proponents of the Living Constitution proclaim that the Constitution is a living being which possesses a life and agency all its own irrespective of what it actually commands. The Constitution must be interpreted in the light of people's experiences and desires and not in the light of what is actually contained in the text: "With regard to that we may add that when we are dealing with words that also are a constituent act, like the Constitution of the United States, we must realize that they have called into life a being the development of which could not have been foreseen completely by the most gifted of its begetters. It was enough for them to realize or to hope that they had created an organism; it has taken a century and has cost their successors much sweat and blood to prove that they created a nation. The case before us must be considered in the light of our whole experience and not merely in that of what was said a hundred years ago." (*Missouri versus Holland*, 1920, Oliver Wendell Holmes). **Originalism.** The proponents of Originalism proclaim that the only proper interpretation of the Constitution is one based on the original meaning or intention of the Founders, irrespective of the objective meaning of the text. The only proper interpretation of the Constitution is one based on a process of mind reading of the Founders, irrespective of the objective meaning of the text. The only proper interpretation of the Constitution is one based on the original understanding of the text at the time of its adoption, irrespective of the objective meaning of the words. The only proper interpretation of the Constitution is one which studies what was not ratified, the original meaning or intent, and ignores what was ratified, the actual words of the text. The only proper interpretation of the Constitution is one based on reference to the background events, debates, dictionaries and documents existing at the time of ratification, irrespective of the objective meaning of the text. The only proper interpretation of the Constitution lies in the rejection of the subjective doctrine of the Living Constitution in favor of the subjective doctrine of studying the original intent of the Founders, irrespective of the objective meaning of the text: "Originalism is a theory of interpreting legal texts holding that a text in law, especially the US Constitution, should be interpreted as it was understood at the time of its adoption. The original meaning of the constitutional text can be discerned from the most likely ideas of the text by the framers of the Constitution, or inferred from background events, public debates, relevant dictionaries, and other legal documents of that time. US Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia was a well-known proponent of originalism. This view is the opposite of the 'living constitutionalism' theory, which asserts that the Constitution is living and can evolve with society, and that the meaning of constitutional texts changes over time." (Legal Information Institute, Cornell Law School). The proponents of Originalism have employed it as a pretext to violate the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment, breach the wall of separation between church and state, and push old time religion on America. In *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, the proponents of Originalism proclaim that there is no right to an abortion because the word *abortion* is not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution. There is no right to an abortion because the right did not exist in the original understanding and intention of the Founders. There is no right to an abortion because the right was not part of the original meaning of the Constitution. There is no right to an abortion because the right is not part of the history or traditions of America. There is no right to an abortion because the original intent of the Due Process Clause was a purely procedural guarantee and not a substantive guarantee of the rights to life, liberty and property, notwithstanding the fact that the words *life*, *liberty*

and *property* are contained in the clause and refer to things in reality. Because there is no substantive guarantee of the right to liberty in the Constitution, and because the word *abortion* is not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution, and because the right to an abortion was not part of the original meaning, intention or understanding of the Founders, the states have a right to establish religion and declare that a human zygote at the moment of conception is an actual human person by virtue of the reception of a mystical, supernatural soul, and that abortion is an act of murder: "Four decisions authored or joined by Justice Samuel Alito, who has described himself as a practical originalist, illustrate the selectivity of the Court's reliance on originalist analysis, even in opinions of Justices who self-identify as originalists. In *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, Justice Alito wrote for the Court in overruling *Roe v. Wade.* Parts of his opinion sounded originalist themes. He argued, for example, that neither British nor American common law had historically recognized a right to abortion and that, '[b]y 1868, the year when the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified, three quarters of the States, 28 out of 37, had enacted statutes making abortion a crime.' Many commentators thus described *Dobbs* as an originalist decision. But Justice Alito did not actually rest *Dobbs*'s overruling of *Roe v. Wade* on a claim about the Fourteenth Amendment's original meaning. Instead, pretermitting any originalist inquiry, Justice Alito noted that prior Court decisions had established that although the Due Process Clause protects 'a select list of fundamental rights that are not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution,' that list consists exclusively of rights that are 'deeply rooted in [our] history and tradition and essential to our Nation's scheme of ordered liberty.' *Roe*'s mistake, according to Justice Alito, was that it had failed to recognize that a right to abortion was not 'deeply rooted in [our] history and traditions.' Justice Thomas, concurring, said that he agreed with Justice Alito's application of the Court's settled framework for identifying fundamental rights, but 'wr[o]te separately to emphasize a second, more fundamental reason why there is no abortion guarantee lurking in the Due Process Clause.' Only then did Justice Thomas, alone among the originalist Justices, argue that the original meaning of the Due Process Clause encompassed only procedural and not substantive rights." (*Selective Originalism And Judicial Role Morality* by Richard Fallon). In America in the twenty first century, two schools of constitutional interpretation are ascendant and have shredded the Constitution. The school of the Living Constitution proclaims that the Constitution does not exist because the text means whatever the mob says it means, and the meaning can change moment by moment, in accordance with the whims of the mob. The school of Originalism proclaims that the Constitution does not exist because it was never ratified. It proclaims that the original meanings and intentions behind the Constitution were ratified but not the actual words in the text, which say what they say irrespective of the original meanings or intentions. Two schools of anticonstitutional, counterconstitutional and unconstitutional thought, abolishing freedom in America.

DEMOCRAT PARTY HOODLUMS

They were popularly known as the Brownshirts because of the color of their uniforms. This paramilitary organization under the command of Adolf Hitler, this *Sturmabteilung* or Storm Division of the National Socialist Party, facilitated the fuhrer's rise to power and spread violence through the streets. This "people's army" of beerhall brawlers and Storm Troopers placed Germany under a shroud of terror after Hitler's accession to power, and were the primary agents of the *Kristallnacht* in 1938. Led by the scarfaced crawlers in Germany's sewers, whose insignia *SA* appears in the form of a lightning bolt, a surge of dark electricity bringing down hate and murder in the land, the November Pogrom saw the windows smashed in 7500 Jewish businesses, shops and department stores. It saw Jewish homes ransacked across the nation. It saw the destruction of Jewish synagogues and cemeteries. It saw Jews beaten to death on the streets and 30000 Jewish men taken away to concentration camps.

Founded in 1921 for the purpose of protecting hate and intimidating the opponents of hate, the SA dissolved in 1945 in the ashes of the Third Reich's defeat. In the twenty first century, in a land 8000 kilometers away from the birthplace of the SA, this fearsome, illegitimate, military death squad has been brought back to life. Less than a century after the national socialist nightmare machine was bombed out of existence in Germany, its street assault operations branch has been reconstituted in the former arsenal of the free world. Eighty years after Germany's fascist attack dogs were laid low by the Allies, the cries have returned and shown out just how sick the West has become in the twenty first century. Marching to a different party and flag, and wearing different colors, the Storm Troopers have returned in an imagination defying development. They have returned to the United States of America and have taken over the nation's Democrat Party. The party championing socialism under the guise of progressivism, championing gender, race and class politics under the guise of progressivism, championing the welfare regulatory state under the guise of progressivism, championing radical feminism under the guise of progressivism, championing black nationalism, Black Lives Matter, and black critical race theory critical of the Enlightenment under the guise of progressivism, is a natural home to the national socialists of Germany championing their own brand of socialism, race politics and antiEnlightenment. In the twenty first century, the ghosts of Hitler's children have migrated to the former leader of the free world and set up shop. They have staged rallies, riots, street theater and street violence in the best traditions of their German predecessor and have transformed the nation conceived in Anglo-Saxon liberty, conceived in the Enlightenment, the nation people fought and died for on the battlefields of Lexington and Concord, the nation which abolished slavery in its Civil War, into something which has to be seen to be believed. Commanding the allegiance of one half of the electorate, with one hundred years of legislative achievements to its credit establishing socialism and statism, beginning with the New Deal, the latter day specter of Germany has donned the flags and colors of a major national political party and transformed the nation of John Locke, Adam Smith, William Blackstone, Denis Diderot, Voltaire and Edward Gibbon into Hitler's America. Exchanging brown shirts for blue shirts, and the swastika flag for the LGBT Pride flag, the Democrat Party has composed a successor manifesto to Hitler's *Mein Kampf*. The fuhrer's magnum opus, composed in Landsberg Prison in Bavaria, has been exchanged for a program and platform composed in the party's high command in Washington. Listen to it and hear the death knell of America and the West in the twentieth century. The Democrat Party manifesto proclaims that a progressive society condemns as racist those who support Donald Trump's movement to make America great again by making it free again and a liberal capitalist state again, and hurls them onto the streets and pushes them in front of subway cars. A progressive society fuels the fires of the leftist propensity for violence. A progressive society attacks Elon Musk for the crime of leading an effort to eliminate waste, fraud and abuse in the federal government, subjecting him to death threats and setting fire to his Tesla dealerships, riddling them with bullets and throwing Molotov cocktails at them. A progressive society is an activist society for the cause of transgenderism. According to this, human gender is not rooted in biological reality and has no relation to sex chromosomes, but can be changed at will, from moment to moment, by human action or belief. A progressive society condemns those who uphold biological reality and reject transgenderism as transphobic and advocates violence against them. A progressive society encourages transgender activists to assault the opponents of transgenderism and inflict grievous bodily harm on them. A progressive society condemns as racist riders of the Ku Klux Klan those who are opposed to open borders and the settlement of unvetted migrants who reject Western liberal values. A progressive society condemns as racist riders of the Ku Klux Klan members of the Republican Party for their opposition to mass unvetted migration, and launches arson attacks against their cars and buildings. A progressive society is a Black Lives Matter society which stages riots in public squares spilling white blood and destroying white property in the name of black racial supremacy. A progressive society

sanctions political violence in the name of Marxist liberation of women, nonwhites, illegal aliens and the poor, and advocates the assassination of Donald Trump, Elon Musk and Ted Cruz. A progressive society condemns the supporters of capitalism as racist and misogynist and advocates round the clock violence against them. A progressive society is a communist revolutionary society. A progressive society condemns capitalism as an engine of oppression and exploitation of the people. A progressive society demonizes, stigmatizes, boycotts, assaults and kills the supporters of freedom the way Hitler's Germany demonized, stigmatized, boycotted, assaulted and killed the Jews. A progressive society condemns the voices of liberalism in its midst as traitors to the people. A progressive society condemns corporate executives as titans of capitalist oppression and exploitation and advocates their assassination. A progressive society allows murderers, rapists and drug dealers from Central and South America to settle for life and grants them immunity from deportation. A progressive society is an Antifa, stormtrooper, street fighter society launching a bloodbath with Marxist rifles against the voices of freedom. A progressive society is governed by radical mobs condemning Jews and Israel as agents of white capitalist imperialism and advocating a holocaust against them. A progressive society is governed by a violent, lawless, far left establishment. A progressive society is an unconstitutional, direct action society. A progressive society is a communist dictatorship whose fearsome symbols are cars and cities engulfed in smoke and flames. A progressive society is a radical left society funded by the George Soros multibillion dollar terror finance network. A progressive society is a Hamas society dedicated to the destruction of Israel. A progressive society is a coalition comprised of Marxist fundamentalists and Islamic fundamentalists dedicated to the overthrow of liberal democracy. A progressive society is a Marxist revolution, an Islamic revolution and a reign of terror seeking to ignite a world conflagration. A progressive society is governed by communist apologists, communist promoters, communist universities, communist apparatchiks and communist movements whose mission is to resist the march of Western liberal civilization: "A video clip highlights the left's rage and sense of entitlement. It shows a fat white woman in a New York subway car screaming at a young man in a MAGA cap that he is a racist for supporting President Trump. Then she tries to snatch the cap off his head. When he leaves at a stop, she chases him onto the platform and falls flat on her face. Undeterred, she gets up and continues the pursuit. Every day brings more examples of liberals' [far leftists'] penchant for violence. Paul Hyon Kim was arrested in connection with a March attack on a Tesla service center in Las Vegas, where several vehicles were set on fire and there was gunfire. Molotov cocktails were thrown at Tesla dealerships in Colorado and Oregon. Department of Government Efficiency head and Tesla CEO Elon Musk gets 17,000 death threats a day. If trying to root out waste and fraud in government is a cause for mayhem, is there anything that won't set them off? At the University of Texas at Dallas, a transgender activist was charged with two counts of aggravated assault after a campus conservative leader was hit over the head with a bicycle lock. The victim said that if she had been struck a few inches lower, she would have been paralyzed. On March 30, there was an arson attack on the New Mexico Republican Party headquarters. The words *ICE=KKK*, were spray painted on the building. In the District of Columbia, under pressure from the White House, Mayor Muriel Bowser changed the name of Black Lives Matter Plaza. BLM played a major role in the 2020 George Floyd riots that resulted in 15 deaths and $2 billion in property damage. Prominent Democrats, including Massachusetts Governor Maura Healy, cheered on the rioters. Liberals [far leftists'] are drawn to political violence the way morticians are attracted to our 46th president. A recent poll showed 31% of Democrats feel attacks on Teslas are justified. Representative Jasmine Crockett, the doyenne of unhinged rhetoric, calls for Mr Musk to be 'taken down' and Senator Ted Cruz to be 'knocked over the head.' " (*The Left Isn't Looking For A Debate But A Bloodbath* by Don Feder). In 1921, the *People's Guardian*, the newspaper of the German National Socialist Party, published an open call to people to join the Storm Troopers: "Men must join the fight against foreign races seeking to destroy Germany." In the twenty first century, in the United

States of America, this call is still running. The wording and party have changed but the fundamental summons has remained the same. The new party is the Democrat Party. Like its German predecessor, it is a party of Marxist violence. Its new call is for recruits to join America's national fight against the voices of freedom.

THE ANTIEMPIRICAL INSANITY OF WESTERN PHILOSOPHY

Empiricism is the doctrine that all knowledge of the world is acquired by means of experience. It is the doctrine that in order to know what to believe, we must consult the world of the senses, and that experience teaches us all our notions. Empiricism is a synonym for human sanity, for no sane person believes that in order to know something about the world, we need not look at the world. No sane person believes that all we need to do to acquire knowledge of reality is simply to gaze into and inspect the contents of our own minds independently of the data of perception. The empiricist, the sane person, in answer to the question of what he can know, first asks what experience can teach him. The most fundamental belief experience teaches the individual is that when he perceives the world, he perceives the world, he perceives physical objects, and perceives nothing other than physical objects. It teaches the individual that when he perceives, he perceives something, and does not perceive nothing. It teaches him that he is not blind because he sees but is blind when he does not see, that there is a difference between being blind and being sighted, and that when he sees a mountain, it is the mountain he sees and nothing other than the mountain. The history of Western philosophy is a history which commences with Rene Descartes, and is a history of dark Faustian subjectivism which seeks to remove people from the noonday light of sanity, common sense and experience. Faust: the main character of the main legend of the West, a man who sells his soul to the Devil in exchange for infinite power. He sells his sanity, his common sense and his experience in exchange for an antiworld, a nonworld and an unworld of unbounded assertion. Faust is the prime symbol of Western culture and stands diametrically opposed to the prime symbol of another culture, Classical culture, which arose in Europe centuries before it, and on whose ruins the West was born. The figure of Faust is diametrically opposed to the figure of Apollo. God of reason, light, knowledge, laws, the arts, sanity and the sun, a figure of human bodily perfection and the most important god in the Greco-Roman pantheon, Apollo teaches the philosophy of empiricism. A mighty culture conflict and clash of civilizations, Apollo versus Faust, appearing on a single continent over the course of two thousand years, has shown mankind, like nothing else in history, the stark opposition between mental well being and mental malfunctioning, between the light defined world of adult living, working, achieving and coping, and the darkness of schizophrenic escape and breakdown. Apollo versus Faust, a study in contrasts surpassing all other studies in contrasts, and showing like nothing else the heights of goodness and the depths of evil of which the human mind is capable. **Classical Empiricism.** Listen to the preeminent philosopher of Apollonian culture affirm that perception is the perception of existence. Listen to Aristotle affirm the empirical doctrine that when we see, we see physical objects, and nothing other than physical objects. Listen to Aristotle affirm that an eye which did not see physical objects would be an eye in name only, for an eye which did not see physical objects would be no different from the eye of a statue or a drawing of an eye: "Suppose that the eye were an animal, then sight would be its soul, for sight is the essence of the eye, that which corresponds to the definition. When seeing is removed, the eye is no longer an eye, except in name, and is no more a real eye than the eye of a statue or a painted figure." (*On The Soul*, Book II, Chapter 1). **Western Antiempiricism.** Now listen to the voices of Faustian subjectivism which dominate and define the history of Western philosophy. Listen to a thinker revered as the "Father of Modern Philosophy" proclaim that when man perceives, he perceives nothing, no sky, no earth, no tables and no chairs, and does not in fact perceive anything. Listen to Rene Descartes

proclaim that the only thing we can directly and self evidently know is just the existence of our own consciousness. Listen to Descartes proclaim that the only statement in the world which is absolutely and indisputably true is the statement *I am, I exist*: "I have convinced myself that there is nothing in the world—no sky, no earth, no minds, no bodies. Doesn't it follow that I don't exist? No, surely I must exist if it's me who is convinced of something. But there is a deceiver, supremely powerful and cunning whose aim is to see that I am always deceived. But surely I exist, if I am deceived. Let him deceive me all he can, he will never make it the case that I am nothing while I think that I am something. Thus having fully weighed every consideration, I must finally conclude that the statement *I am, I exist* must be true whenever I state it or mentally consider it." (*Meditations On First Philosophy* by Rene Descartes). Listen to Baruch Spinoza proclaim that reality is a single infinite substance, a single mind and a single body, called God, and physical objects in space and time are illusions. Listen to Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz proclaim that reality is constituted of an infinite number of substances called monads, which are self contained minds. Listen to the most influential philosopher in the West, the preeminent philosophic voice of Faust, raise a clarion call to the psychotics of the world. Listen to Immanuel Kant proclaim his terrifying aim and mission which sane people have had to read to believe: "I have found it necessary to deny knowledge in order to make room for faith." (*Critique Of Pure Reason*). *To deny knowledge*: a set of words which encapsulates Faust and the West as nothing else does. *To deny knowledge*: the creed of a screaming madhouse hermetically sealed and shut in upon itself. *To deny knowledge*: a declaration of war issued by those who hate the reality they see and seek to invent another reality with which they can cope. Listen to Kant proclaim that all knowledge is acquired apriori or independently of experience. But because of this, there is no such thing as knowledge, and what is called knowledge is in fact the conformity of objects to perception or the invention of objects by perception. The socalled physical world is a construct and satellite of consciousness, eternally revolving around it as the planets eternally revolve around the sun: "Hitherto it has been assumed that all our knowledge must conform to objects. But all attempts to extend our knowledge of objects by establishing something in regard to them *apriori*, by means of concepts independently of all experience, have ended in failure. We must therefore find out whether we may not have more success in the tasks of metaphysics if we suppose that objects must conform to our knowledge." (*Critique Of Pure Reason*). Listen to Kant proclaim that the perceived world of physical objects is a mere appearance and not reality. Space and time are not real but are subjective forms of perception. The common sense philosophy of realism, according to which perception is the perception of something or is the perception of physical objects, must be replaced by the philosophy of idealism, according to which the world is a universal figment of the imagination: "I understand by the idealism of all appearances the doctrine that they are all together to be regarded as mere representations and not things in themselves, and accordingly that time and space are only subjective forms of our perception, and not determinations given for themselves or conditions of objects as things in themselves. To this idealism is opposed realism, which regards space and time as something given in themselves, independently of our perception." (*Critique Of Pure Reason*). Listen to the pseudoempiricist philosophers John Locke, George Berkeley and David Hume deny the existence of physical objects. They profess to be empiricists but deny that experience has anything to teach people about what they perceive and about what exists. They deny that experience is the experience of something, and proclaim that experience is the experience of the contents of consciousness. Listen to Locke proclaim that man perceives his own sensations in place of physical objects. The world outside of man's perceptions is an immaterial "something I know not what." Listen to Berkeley proclaim that man perceives only his own ideas, only his own mind and mental contents, and the act of perception creates reality, or "to be is to be perceived." Listen to David Hume proclaim that man perceives only his own impressions and ideas: "All the perceptions of the human mind resolve themselves into two distinct kinds, which I shall call

impressions and ideas." (*Treatise Of Human Nature*). Listen to G. W. F Hegel proclaim that reality is the identity of thought and object and is an Absolute Mind, and is pure mental process in which nothing is as it is, everything becomes and flows into everything else, and reality is governed by a special, higher, mystical, "dialectical" antilogic, a logic transcending the ordinary logic of noncontradiction, a logic which says that A is non A, thesis is antithesis, and nothing is anything. Listen to Karl Marx proclaim that what exists are physical processes and not physical objects, processes in which nothing is as it is, everything becomes and flows into everything else, and reality is governed by a special, higher, mystical logic called "dialectical materialism," a logic transcending the ordinary logic of noncontradiction, a logic which says that A is non A, thesis is antithesis, and nothing is anything. Listen to Friedrich Nietzsche proclaim that reality is an immaterial Will to Power. Listen to Arthur Schopenhauer proclaim that "the world is will and idea." Listen to Edmund Husserl proclaim that reality is "pregiven" by human consciousness. It is a "lifeworld" or world subjectively lived and experienced by people. It a world of "we subjectivity" in which objects exist and are arranged relative to perceiving subjects. Listen to Martin Heidegger proclaim that reality is "*Dasein*" or subjective human experience. It is subjective human existence and subjective human time. Listen to Ludwig Wittgenstein proclaim that reality is a product of "language games," linguistic conventions and linguistic "forms of life." Listen to the pragmatists proclaim that reality is a product of social needs, interests and public polling of the majority. Listen to Jurgen Habermas proclaim that reality is a pragmatic construct of society and a product of public consensus and communication. Listen to Jacques Derrida proclaim that reality is a product of texts, narratives, metanarratives, interpretations and "deconstructions." Listen to him proclaim that true philosophy is "postmodern philosophy" because it denies the existence of physical objects, when in fact modern Cartesian, Kantian philosophy does nothing of the kind and expresses Faustian subjectivism. Listen to critical theory proclaim that reality is a product of Marxist gender, race and class politics. The Age of Enlightenment was a one hundred year period spanning the late seventeenth century to the early nineteenth century. It was an age of the West but not an age connected in spirit with the West. Affirming in Classical Apollonian manner the existence of physical objects and the Classical principle that man's perceives physical objects, the Enlightenment was a countermovement running against the dominant Faustian trend. Its philosophers, from Descartes at the beginning to Kant at the end, affirmed native Western Faustian subjectivism, while its scientists, such as Johannes Kepler, Galileo Galileo, Christiaan Huygens and Isaac Newton, affirmed true empiricism and Classical realism. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, Western physicists have abandoned Classical realism and the Enlightenment and descended into the jungles of Faustian philosophy. Listen to relativity theory proclaim that particles or objects do not exist and reality is pure field, pure space and pure geometry. Listen to it deny the sane, empirical, common sense principle that fields are fields of particles or they are fields of nothing and do not exist. Listen to quantum theory proclaim that determinate particles do not exist and particles move by chance and not in accordance with the sane, empirical, common sense principle of cause and effect. In the twenty first century, Western philosophy has ended where it began. Its birthplace and final destination is the dark, narrow, sealed chamber of Faust. In this airless, soundless, lightless underground tomb at Faust's desk are the terrifying creeds of antiempiricism and insanity.

THE MANY FACES OF MARXISM

It is not true that fascism is only the fighting organization of the bourgeois. Fascism is not only a military category. Fascism is the bourgeois's fighting organization that relies on the active support of the Social Democrats. Social Democracy is the moderate wing of fascism. There is no ground for assuming that the fighting organization of the bourgeois can achieve decisive successes in battles, or in

governing the country, without the active support of the Social Democrats. There is just as little ground for thinking that Social Democracy can achieve decisive successes in battles, or in governing the country, without the active support of the fighting organization of the bourgeois. These organizations do not negate, but supplement each other. They are not antipodes, they are twins. Fascism is a political bloc of these two chief organizations, a bloc which arose in the circumstances of the postwar crisis of imperialism, and which is intended for combating the proletarian revolution. Thus spoke Joseph Stalin in a 1924 speech titled *Concerning The International Situation*. "Social Democracy is the moderate wing of fascism," proclaims Stalin. Capitalism is fascism. Freedom is fascism. Liberal democracy is fascism. Since rivers of blood first flowed in the Communist Revolution in Russia in November 1917, communists have targeted two movements for destruction, two enemies which they have identified as one and the same in their creed. One movement is comprised of the communists' rival collectivists, fascists, who substitute *nation* and *race* for *class* as the collectors of human sacrifice. The other movement is comprised of those opposed to all forms of collectivism, and defend the freedom and dignity of the individual in the face of state tyranny coming from all directions, tyranny coming from the forces of proletarian revolution, national revolution and racial revolution. The identification of capitalism with fascism and the targeting of both neocommunist fascists and liberal freedom fighters for destruction has been standard communist practice for over a century, and in the new millennium, it is on spectacular display throughout the West. In the twenty first century, a communist establishment, championing gender, race and class politics, is fighting fascist brother movements parading as nationalist parties, and fighting the vanishing voices of liberal freedom. The West today: the birthplace of freedom in the modern world has descended into the fever swamps of statism and socialism. The West today: the birthplace of the rational Enlightenment peddles mystical, tribalist corruption as it heads into extinction. The West today: Marxist, malarial, morally bankrupt and unable to defend itself, hoisting the white flag of surrender before the enemies of freedom. The West today: its establishment, voted into power by Caesar's latter day mobs, has issued a Marxist manifesto of tribal religions, opposing both its fascist tribal variant and the defenders of the Enlightenment, which latter, in the manner of Stalin, it condemns as fascists. The establishment proclaims that a progressive society prevents fascist Marine Le Pen from running for office because she opposes Marxist global revolution. A progressive society criminalizes the expression of both fascist and liberal ideas. A progressive society jails people who say politically incorrect things the establishment does not want to hear. A progressive society fights fascist Jair Bolsanaro in Brazil, liberal Benjamin Netanyahu in Israel, fascist Calin Georgescu in Romania and fascist Marine Le Pen in France. A progressive society is an authoritarian Marxist society which removes its fascist and liberal opponents from the ballot box. A progressive society rigs elections, cheated Donald Trump out of the presidency in 2020 by means of manufactured mail in ballots, and sought to cheat him out of the presidency in 2024 by removing him from the ballot, for his crime of opposing racist and sexist diversity policies, chromosome denying transgenderist policies, and open borders policies allowing the unassimilated migrant scum of the earth to settle. A progressive society seeks to assassinate Trump for these grievous crimes committed against the radical left. A progressive society crushes all dissent to its Marxist agenda. A progressive society outlaws democracy if democracy brings antiMarxists into power. A progressive society is an iron heel of mass oppression in the name of women, nonwhites and the poor. A progressive society commands a police army enforcing political correctness and sends it to smash into the homes of parents who criticize Marxist indoctrination of their children at school. A progressive society sends its political police to knock on the doors of liberal capitalists and take them away forever. A progressive society prevents politicians from running on platforms opposing Marxism and bars them from holding office for life. A progressive society is a globalist Marxist society with the means and plan to enslave mankind. A progressive society is a dictatorship in the hands of Marxist tribes promoting gender ideology, black

nationalism, Islamic terror and welfare entitlements for all. A progressive society has disarmed its citizens, taken away their guns, knives and ammunition, and prohibited them from protesting against Marxism. A progressive society manipulates schools, courts, parliaments and elections to ensure that Marxist power reigns supreme in the culture and the government for all time to come: "What happened with Marine Le Pen, the most popular politician in France who was just banned from standing for election on the flimsiest of pretenses, is no exception. It's becoming the rule around the West and in other places, too, where being outside the mainstream of authorized establishment left leaning globalist politics has become criminalized. In some places, like the UK and Spain, it takes the form of persecuting people for saying things that those in power don't want to hear. In other places, like Germany, upstart populist parties that earned a significant number of votes are informally, and sometimes formally, marginalized and threatened with being banned. But it's the criminal persecution of leaders that is becoming the go to. It happened to Bolsonaro in Brazil, Netanyahu in Israel, Georgescu in Romania, and Le Pen in France. In each of these cases, the establishment authoritarians essentially attempted to frame a politician they couldn't beat at the ballot box. Of course, their American analogs tried to do the same thing to Donald Trump here, and when that didn't work, their allies tried to murder him. Thankfully, they failed at both–with the people who instigated these atrocities too dumb to know that they are the ones who should be the most thankful they failed. The European authoritarians have the ability to crush dissent. Europe is an exhausted culture, too weak both morally and spiritually to come to its own defense. Europeans have turned over their governance to corrupt, globalist fools who invite the Third World in to complete the destruction of what was the mother of civilization. It's difficult to explain to Americans why we should spend our treasure and put our blood on the line for nations that oppress their own people and embrace sham democracy. Even the UK, the father of our democracy, has turned into a political deadbeat dad. Why should we have a special relationship with a country that sends cops to the house of parents complaining about school policies? Under the Democrats, they tried to do that here, and we rejected it. We demonstrated at least a modicum of manhood in the face of this petty tyranny. But until the Europeans demonstrate their commitment to doing something about their own enslavement, it's unclear how we might help. We certainly shouldn't be protecting them from other European dictatorships–we have no interest in refereeing among oppressors. Our response as Americans to acts like the framing of Le Pen should be loud and bold condemnation and contempt. What they are doing is truly disgusting–and dangerous, too. We should say so, especially since their citizens can't without fear of a knock on the door. The European ruling class hates hearing it, especially when JD Vance goes overseas and tells them the truth to their smug, pale faces. Their fussy fury when he exposes their fake democracy and lies about freedom is hilarious. They are unworthy of a special relationship, and it's impossible to frame a coherent or compelling explanation of why a single American paratrooper should die in defense of any country that refuses to allow its most popular politician to run because the establishment dislikes her platform. We're not ready to be serfs, and the fact that we have more guns than people provides a powerful backstop against the kind of tyranny the globalist left would love to impose in America as well. I shudder to think of what would have happened if Trump had been murdered or if they had succeeded in knocking him off the ballot. But I'm not shuddering for my sake. If the stuff hits the fan, I and those like me will prevail. I'm shuttering over the fate of the morons whose greed, corruption, and stupidity would have sparked a conflict they are utterly unprepared to fight. Will there be a revolution in Europe? France was once famous for its revolting. There's a lot of anger, but there aren't a lot of weapons left among the citizenry–never, ever, give up your guns and, in fact, go buy guns and ammunition. On the other hand, there aren't a lot of troops either for the governments to use to suppress their own people and force the people to accept the dictatorship should the Euro masses decide to leave their tiny, squalid apartments and take to the streets. The ugly truth is that civil wars

don’t necessarily require guns. Hundreds of thousands of people were butchered in Rwanda with knives and machetes." (*The Globalist Authoritarians Are Playing With Fire* by Kurt Schlichter). Red, green and brown are the colors of Satan's rainbow. They stand for totalitarian movements springing from the Marxist universe of hate and violence. Red stands for communism. Brown stands for neocommunist fascism. Green stands for neocommunist environmentalism. They work in alliance or in opposition to each other. Cooperating or competing, they are the faces of the West and they confront their common enemy: freedom.

NEW MILLENNIUM GERMANY: NEW JACKBOOTS CRUSH DISSENT

The right arm is extended upward to a forty five degree angle and the hand is straightened. Then the utterance: *Seig Heil!* From 1933 to 1945, this gesture was the mandatory greeting in Germany. It was a signal of obedience to Adolf Hitler and glorification of the German nation and the Aryan race. It was the salute to a terrifying regime which collectivized the individual, took ownership of his mind and body, as it abolished all forms of free speech. Less than a century after the Third Reich's forests of *Seig Heils* were razed by Allied bombers and the Hitler regime was reduced to smoke and ashes, the dark legions are back in business, saluting a new flag, a new leader and a new religion. The symbols and texts have changed but the story has remained the same. It is the story of a Marxist based regime placing the people under ideological lockstep. The first version of Marxism, ruling Germany under Hitler, was the brownshirt version which replaced *class* with *race* and abolished freedom in the name of the German nation and Aryan race. The second version of Marxism, ruling Germany in the present day, is a monster called *Gender, Race and Class Politics* and it has brought down the same shroud of control but changed the tenets of the faith to propaganda on behalf of an expanding plethora of tribes, on behalf of women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders, Muslims, indigenous groups and the poor. Marxism by any other name is still Marxism, and Aryan Marxism, black Marxism, feminist Marxism, gay Marxism, lesbian Marxism, transgender Marxism and green Marxism are chicks crowding around a mother hen called the *Proletariat* and stampeding with the mother hen across the traditions and institutions of the liberal Enlightenment. Germany today has seen history spin round and repeat itself and bring the political correctness police back onto the streets, spreading fear among the people as in yesteryear. Germany today, alleged to be the new Germany of the postwar Federal Republic, is the old Germany, the same old Germany, the Germany continuing its native specialization in statist tyranny, but a tyranny which has tragically swept through the West during its final, new millennium twilight stage, and made all Westerners Germans now. Germany today has issued a manifesto of mind control following in the footsteps of its Third Reich predecessor. Listen to it and hear the death knell toll for freedom in the most powerful nation in Europe and the death knell toll for freedom throughout the West. This second Marxist manifesto issued by Germany, issued ninety years after the nation's master organist first played hymns of the totalitarian state, proclaims that a progressive society proudly violates basic liberal Western values supporting freedom of thought and expression. A progressive society arrests people protesting against the Marxist opposition to free speech, levies astronomical fines against them and sends them to jail. A progressive society condemns all criticisms of Marxism as forms of defamation and subjects the perpetrators to criminal penalties. A progressive society has abolished independent journalism and placed the channels of mass communication under the control of the people's censors. A progressive society is a federal republic of political correctness paved with statutes repealing the West's Enlightenment charters of rights and freedoms. A progressive society is a fearsome union, an American Marxist Union, a European Marxist Union, a World Marxist Union, and a cosmic revolution raging out of control. A progressive society files criminal complaints against vocal antiMarxists opposed to state censorship. A progressive society puts people supporting free speech on

trial for antisocial behavior and finds them guilty as charged. A progressive society hates free speech and tolerates only communist and neocommunist speech. A progressive society is a Marxist mobocracy which has repealed freedom of speech and thought. A progressive society is a primitive Marxist swampland opposed to capitalism, industrial progress and constitutional rights. A progressive society fines people who post antiMarxist internet memes, fines them every day, fines them every month, fines them to the heavens, and fines them into financial ruination. A progressive society gives people who criticize gender, race and class propaganda criminal records for life. A progressive society has constructed a throne of absolute power, a throne of brute force and state control over thought and opinion, and placed a false god on this throne. Condemning capitalism as a regime of discrimination, oppression and exploitation of women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders, Muslims, indigenous groups, illegal aliens and the poor, this is the god of Marxism: "Germany has been accused of violating basic Western values yet again, as a news editor in Germany faces a hefty fine and a seven month prison sentence for posting a meme mocking the government's hatred of free speech. The Bamberg district court in Bavaria sentenced *DeutschlandKurier* editor David Bendels this week to seven months in prison on probation and a fine of nearly sixty per cent of his annual income, or 210 'daily rates,' for posting an image on social media of Interior Minister Nancy Faeser holding an altered sign. The common meme tactic of changing the words on signs held by politicians for satirical purposes was used to make Faeser's sign read: *I hate freedom of speech*. Bendels was initially convicted in November, and the ruling was confirmed on Monday, finding that he had committed 'defamation directed against people in political life,' *Die Welt* reported on Tuesday. According to Bendels, it is the first time in the history of the Federal Republic of Germany that a journalist has been threatened with prison for breaching the statute. The *Kurier* editor also claimed that the prosecution was prompted after Interior Minister Faeser personally filed a complaint against him. Bendels said on Monday that he plans to appeal the ruling, saying: 'We will not accept this verdict and will defend ourselves against it using all legal means. The *Deutschland-Kurier* and I personally will continue the just struggle for freedom of the press and freedom of expression, which is indispensable for the continued existence of democracy in Germany, resolutely, stably and with all consistency.' The ruling has also been criticized by opposition political figures, with the influential regional leader of the populist Alternative for Germany (AfD) party in Thuringia, Bjorn Hocke saying: 'German politicians, responsible for the deindustrialization of our country and tearing its citizens apart from each other made Germany a laughingstock of the world. 'They armor themselves and attack freedom of speech again and again, ending our constitutional democracy. We have been warned by Donald Trump and Elon Musk: The enemies of freedom are found within the EU governments!' In Germany, fines are levied as 'rates', each rate the equivalent of one day's self reported income for the convict. 210 rates, therefore, would mean a fine payment of well over half of Bendels' annual income. In comparison, analysis from the Fines and Fees Justice Center (FFJC) found that in 2018, 49 per cent of fines levied in Germany were between 31 and 90 rates, meaning the fine against Bendels for posting a meme was far above average. In December, he explained to the *European Conservative* that people in Germany with prison sentences of over 90 days are generally considered to have a criminal record, and therefore, his sentence would effectively represent a 'muzzle' against him as a journalist. 'If we don't stop this disastrous development, the journey one day will end in a left-green dictatorship of wokeness. Instead of swastika flags, rainbow flags will fly on all public buildings and places in Germany,' he said." (*German Journalist Given Seven Month Sentence For Meme Mocking Government's 'Hatred' Of Free Speech* by Kurt Zindulka). Look at German today and hear it proclaim that a progressive society unleashes stormtroopers to attack freedom of speech and the press. A progressive society enacts a criminal code prohibiting the dissemination of antiMarxist ideas. A progressive society is a Marxist gas chamber committing a holocaust against the human spirit. A progressive society is an onrushing Marxist leviathan ruthlessly hurling aside all

attempts to ridicule, satirize, scorn or condemn it. A progressive society protects all criticisms of antiMarxists, and allow all manner of defamation against them, in the name of freedom of speech. A progressive society has legalized freedom of speech for agitators on behalf of Marxist women, nonwhites and the poor, and legalized freedom of speech for nobody else, and has opened up the public square to the enemies of the Enlightenment and to nobody else: "In a sign of deteriorating levels of freedom of speech and attacks on the press, a German court has slapped the editor in chief of *Deutschland Kurier*, David Bendels, with seven months probation. The Bamberg District Court found that a satirical photo montage about Federal Minister Nancy Faeser to be an instance of 'defamation against political figures,' under Paragraph 188 of the German Criminal Code (StGB). The photomontage was shared in Bendel's newspaper, and she is seen holding up a sign that reads: *I hate freedom of expression*. The satirical creation was based on a real photo of Faeser where she was holding a *We Remember* sign to mark Holocaust Remembrance Day. One of Faeser's most controversial statements during her term of interior minister was: 'Those who mock the state must be dealt with by a strong state.' It appears with this verdict, she is sending exactly that message. The conviction is especially shocking in terms of how satire is produced in Germany, with satirical magazine *Titanik* filling every issue with harsh and offensive content mocking politicians, especially those from the right. Late night hosts like Jan Bohmermann are equally harsh towards the right, yet suffer no such consequences. The Cologne Carnival also features incredibly offensive floats, including those targeting AfD's Alice Weidel." (*German News Editor Convicted For Satirical Photo Montage Of Far Left Interior Minister, Given 7 Month Probation. Freedom Of Speech And The Press Under Attack In Germany*, *Remix*, April 8, 2025). In their seminal work on the language of the Third Reich, Robert Michael and Karin Doerr define the key national socialist term *gleichschaltung*: "Consolidation. All of the German People's social, political, and cultural organizations to be controlled and run according to nazi ideology and policy. All opposition to be eliminated." (*Nazi-Deutsch/Nazi-German: An English Lexicon Of The Language Of The Third Reich*). "All opposition to be eliminated." This was the leitmotif of Hitler's methods. Less than a century after Hitler's nightmare crashed into the streets leaving swastikas shattered and in ruins, *gleichschaltung* has returned to the land. The consolidation, synchronization and nazification of society, bringing all sectors into line obeying the state religion of the *Volk*, has been replaced by the Marxification of society, bringing all sectors into line obeying the new state religion of *Gender, Race and Class*. Eliminating the opposition in the promotion of some form or variant of Marxism, two Germanys have composed the epitaph of the nation and the West.

RED REPAINT JOAN OF ARC

She is the patron saint of France, the savior and defender of the nation. In the Hundred Years War, 1137 to 1453, between England and France, she brought a turning point in favor France. From October 12, 1428 to May 8, 1429, she led the Siege of Orleans. Under her leadership, France regained the initiative, recaptured territories held by the English, and went on to claim the crown of victory. In 1430, she was put on trial by the Catholic Church. Charged with committing acts of heresy by wearing men's clothes, acting upon demonic visions, and refusing to obtain the church's permission for her words and deeds, she was burned at the stake on May 30, 1430. As the flames of her death pyre ascended to the heavens, they carried her martyrdom along with them. A national symbol of France and the avatar of its independence, she was canonized by Pope Benedict XV in 1920. Celebrated in literature, music, paintings, sculptures and theater throughout the world, she has inspired people for centuries, who revere her the daughter of Reason and Liberty. Her name is Joan of Arc, and six centuries after she strode onto the field of battle wielding her banner and bringing hope, and forcing the British to abandon their siege, she has been shot dead by the rifles of Western cultural degeneration and hurled into the

dustbin of history. Six centuries after she led a demoralized French army, and against all odds enabled it to repulse the British enemy, she has been burned once again at the stake. These are the same flames of religion and superstition and only the names have changed. The flames ignited by the Catholic Church which sent John of Arc to her fiery doom have been replaced by the flames of a new church which rules the West today. They have been replaced by the flames of Marxism and its many faces, and these flames have turned Joan of Arc to ashes once again, as they have burned down the West and pushed it into a second Dark Age. In the twenty first century, the young military leader, who at the age of seventeen paved the way for the victory of France, has been dismantled and reassembled by the Western Marxist establishment, and surging currents of electricity have brought to life a monster in her place. It is a latter day Frankenstein called *Gender, Race and Class Politics*, and it has conducted a rampage across Europe and America and rendered the legacies of the Enlightenment a dead letter. Look at the new Joan of Arc and see a portrait of political correctness and a skull and bones signifying the poisoning of civilization. **The New Joan of Arc.** The new Joan of Arc has no sex chromosomes, which form the biological basis of human gender, and has changed her gender. The new Joan of Arc is a transgender man. The new Joan of Arc has a nonbinary gender. The new Joan of Arc wears men's clothes because she wants to be man and is a man. The new Joan of Arc does not claim to be female and is not female. The new Joan of Arc fights as a man and dies as a man because she is a man. The new Joan of Arc is a strong, heroic, intrepid male fighter. The new Joan of Arc is a gender nonconforming woman and is a man. The new Joan of Arc is lesbian, queer, gay and bisexual. The new Joan of Arc uses the indeterminate pronouns *they-them* because her gender is indeterminate. The new Joan of Arc is a drag king. The new Joan of Arc is a third gender, and is neither male nor female and both male and female. The new Joan of Arc is not a flesh and blood individual but is an abstraction, a tribal identity and set of identities, a member of a gender and multiple genders, and is the ghost from ancient Rome during its decadent days of decline, and the ghost from a terrifying, precivilizational, prehistoric, hallucinatory past: "Joan of Arc was nonbinary, secondary school pupils are being taught. Lesson plans produced for English language students aged between 11 and 14 include the claim about the medieval figure, who is the patron saint of France and fought against the English during the Hundred Years' War. In the *Who We Are* anthology published by Collins, which is made up of 'representative and inclusive contemporary texts,' a lesson plan includes a biography that reads: 'Joan of Arc (1412-31) is today considered by some to have been nonbinary.' The knight cropped her hair in the male fashion and wore men's clothes, which formed part of the heresy case against her for which she was burned at the stake in 1431. But she never claimed not to be female and also did not adopt the nonbinary gender identity, which only emerged in the late twentieth century. Robert Tombs, professor emeritus of French history at the University of Cambridge, branded the claim 'insulting.' 'Joan of Arc fought as a woman and died as a woman,' he told *The Telegraph*. 'To call her something else is insulting to her and indirectly to all women who are brave enough to risk their lives for their beliefs. as if women are incapable of heroism.' Carolyn Brown, a retired psychologist now working with the Women's Rights Network, said: 'This is yet another ridiculous example of attempting to rewrite history and erase strong, rebellious female characters from our past. 'It's insulting to suggest that nonconforming women are not women. Nonbinary is a nonsense term–indeed the Supreme Court in the UK recently ruled against including it as an option on passports. It's also another example of the junk science of queer theory being visited on children. It's unhelpful psychologically to children's development and is likely to cause confusion and anxiety.' It is not the first time Joan of Arc has been dubbed nonbinary. In 2022, the Globe Theatre put on the play *I, Joan* which gave her the pronouns *they/them* instead of *she/her.* The claim made in the Collins anthology formed part of a lesson plan designed around drag queen Amrou al-Kadhi's biography *Life As A Unicorn*. The plan reads: 'To provide some useful context, teachers may explain to students that there are references to nonbinary people in ancient Mesopotamia

(4,000 years ago); that in India and Pakistan, *hijra* is a legally recognized third gender (*hijra* are usually assigned male at birth but present female); and that Joan of Arc (1412-31) is today considered by some to have been nonbinary. 'These historical and global references may prepare students unfamiliar with LGBTQ+ identities to understand the content of the extract.' One teacher said the lesson plan--used by state schools–made 'an absolute mockery of the profession.' 'In schools today there is simply far too much identity first woke reading materials being foisted on pupils at the expense of established classics like [*To Kill A*] *Mockingbird* or *Of Mice And Men*,' the teacher said. 'We should also not lose sight of the fact that there are cohorts of teenagers vulnerable to reality denying nonsense like this when it is presented earnestly.' Collins was approached for comment." (*Joan Of Arc Was Nonbinary, Schoolchildren Taught* by Tim Sigsworth). **The New Joan of Arc.** The new Joan of Arc wears male attire in battle, including male armor, as a means of proclaiming her identity as a male. The new Joan of Arc is a nonbinary queer using nonbinary queer pronouns. The new Joan of Arc is a queer revolutionary. The new Joan of Arc is a nonbinary, pseudofemale, transgender male. The new Joan of Arc is a poster boy for LGBTQ ideas. The new Joan of Arc is a militant gender ideologue. The new Joan of Arc is a transsexual revolutionary. The new Joan of Arc is an unwavering voice of transideology. The new Joan of Arc is a nonwoman, antiwoman and dewoman. The new Joan of Arc is a shining transheroine. The new Joan of Arc is homonormative, transgender normative, and the mortal enemy of the laws, rules and standards of the Enlightenment: "St Joan of Arc, the Maid of Orleans, is one of history's greatest heroines. Shakespeare's Globe Theatre in London will stage a production called *I, Joan*, which chooses to imagine the saint in a very different way. The team was evidently excited by St Joan's attire in battle. Like men, she wore armor–though for protection rather than as a statement of sexual identity, a point I fear has been missed. So the writers have chosen to portray a woman consecrated in virginity as a nonbinary queer who refers to herself using *they/them* pronouns. Oddly enough, the play is written by Charlie Josephine, a self professed 'nonbinary' person who promises a 'big, sweaty, queer revolution, rebellion, festival of like joy' and it comes amid a broader global drive to promote LGBTQ themes in the performing arts. It follows, for example, the recent announcement by Roundabout Theatre on Broadway of an international tour of the celebrated musical *1776* but this time with the Founding Fathers of the American Revolution portrayed exclusively by 'actors who identify as female, transgender, and nonbinary.' Naturally the decision to recast St Joan as a gender ideologue has attracted criticism, notably from JK Rowling, an author of French heritage and the *bete noire* of transsexual revolutionaries. She is frequently threatened with violence and rape for defending the rights of women against the demands of transideology so it was unsurprising that she described the depiction of the saint as 'insulting and damaging.' Women from across the political spectrum share her opinions. They include, for instance, the former Conservative Minister Ann Widdecombe, a Catholic, who accused the Globe of 'dewomanizing' St Joam in a 'farce beyond measure.' It was wise for the Globe to instantly surrender any possible claim on historical accuracy because St Joan clearly was by no means a nonbinary gender ideologue of the early twenty first century. She was very 'cisgender' and 'heteronormative' indeed, and a strange choice for a transheroine." (*A Farce Beyond Measure': The Queering Of Joan Of Arc* by Simon Caldwell). In the city of Orleans, in the Centre Val de Loire region in France, is the *Musee des Beaux-Art d'Orleans*. Its most famous exhibit is the 1887 oil painting by Jean-Jacques Scherrer titled *Joan Of Arc Enters Orleans*. In the twenty first century, this heroine who liberated France from English domination has been blackened by an inkpot thrown by the Western establishment. This inkpot is filled with Marxist gender ideology and sexual politics, and it has defamed its subject and disgraced her Western civilization for all time to come.

EASTERN WORK ETHIC SHAMES AMERICA

Help Wanted. The signs are ubiquitous but few people show interest. The labor force participation rate, the percentage of able bodied people who are employed or looking for work, is at an all time low at 63 percent. Thirty seven percent of able bodied people have chosen to lead a life without work. Lawmakers around the nation agitate for a 32 hour work week at a 40 hour per week wage. Participation in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program is at an all time high, at over 12 percent of the population or over 40 million people. Participation is at an all time high in unemployment insurance, Medicaid, the Affordable Care Act, housing vouchers, child care payments and energy subsidies. This is a picture of America in the twenty first century, and a picture of national cultural degeneration reproduced by the thousands in the dark rooms of history. Following in the footsteps of ancient Rome during its dying, decadent centuries, which saw the people supported by a lifetime dole of bread, pork, wine and circuses, America today has embarked on a flight from work, and in its spiral downward into the abyss, this former industrial engine and innovation laboratory of the world has show just how sick the West is in the new millennium, as the it too replicates Rome's trajectory. The context of America's decline is the decline of the West, and the ethos of antiwork is a universal phenomenon afflicting every nation in the free world. America today: an expansive sector of the population dependent on the government; hard work punished by confiscatory taxes on income and property; and productive workers stigmatized as exploiters of the poor and despoilers of the planet. The reelection of Donald Trump in 2024 signified the support of one half of the electorate for Trump's platform to repulse an extremist Marxist culture and dismantle Marxism's racist and sexist diversity policies, insane transgender rights policies, and mass open borders letting in the migrant scum of the world. The reelection did not signal support for dismantling the American welfare regulatory state, created at the dawn of the twentieth century and relentlessly expanding ever since. In election after election at all levels of government, local, state and federal, for over a century, the welfare regulatory state has enjoyed overwhelming popular support, and has signaled the development of America as a leftist nation, but not as yet a far left nation which supports the Marxist excrescences of transgenderism, multiculturalism, open borders and diversity policies. The backlash against the far left and nothing else propelled Donald Trump into the power in two elections, in 2016 and 2024. In the twenty first century, the American consensus from coast to coast supports welfare and opposes work. Look at the state of affairs of the former leader of the free world and see a twilight gloom descend on a nation, its traditions, its institutions and the legacies of the Enlightenment which propelled it to heights of greatness. America in the twenty first century is unable to advance the course of high technology and manufacture microchips. It suffers from a shortage of skilled labor. Its factories churn out poor quality goods. It is unable to manufacture high precision electronic components. It is unable to produce them at scale in order to sell more and sell at lower prices. It is unable to replicate Eastern capacity and commitment. It is unable to manufacture iphones, electric vehicles or washing machines. It is unable to produce silicon technology although it invented it. It is unable to assemble, test or package semiconductor products. It is unable to keep industrial costs under control. It is unable to recruit workers who are able, intelligent, educated or competent. It is unable to manufacture advanced digital electronics. Its workers are devoid of prowess and professionalism. It has no capacity for large scale, high technology manufacturing. Its companies, such as Apple, Qualcomm and Intel, are unable to manufacture their own electronic circuits and must import them from China. It is devoid of the talent and knowledge necessary to manufacture nanometer microchips. It suffers from a shortage of workers able to design and build electronic machines. It is unable to adequately innovate or invest in electronics research and development. It suffers from a shortage of science and engineering doctors. It is unable to make advancements in artificial intelligence. It is unable to stand up to radical labor unions and require

workers to earn their wages. It is unable to replicate China's situation of strong manufacturing combined with high export demand. It hosts an unproductive, high wage labor force and a service driven economy which manufactures next to nothing. It is unable to replicate China's ten percent annual growth rate in the semiconductor, artificial intelligence and quantum computing industries. It is unable to achieve success in exports because its goods are higher priced and lower quality than Eastern made goods. It is unable to make software programs simulating human conversation through text or voice. It has placed the values of a human life, the values of work, effort, efficiency, productivity, purpose and dedication, on a lifetime vacation as it prays at the altar of inhuman indolence: " 'It's a totally different model from our utopian unlimited vacation' mindset, said Mike Jaconi, CEO and founder of the mobile software platform Button. 'In China, people are creating more value in less time than you see in the US.' " (*China's Tech Workers Work Harder Than Their US Counterparts And It Could Drive The Country's Future Success, Insiders Say* by Zoe Bernard). America is unable to provide advanced business to consumer or business to business services. It is unable to replicate China's culture of long productive working hours in which professionals, dedicated to personal perfection, work beyond the forty hour work week. It is unable to provide productive, low cost labor to manufacture electronic technology. It is unable to host a thriving, cost effective chip industry. It is dependent on the East for its electronics technology. Unable to work adequately and compete with the East, it seeks to protect its electronics industries through trade protectionism, levying massive tariffs on imports from the East, forcing its citizens to purchase more expensive goods produced by domestic industries, enslaving its citizens to a false god called Social Responsibility: "The US has 'dropped the ball' on chip manufacturing over the years, allowing China and other Asian hubs to steam ahead. So said Gina Raimondo, who at the time was the US Commerce Secretary, in an interview with me back in 2021. Four years on, chips remain a battleground in the US-China race for tech supremacy, and US President Donald Trump now wants to turbocharge a highly complex and delicate manufacturing process that has taken other regions decades to perfect. He says his tariff policy will liberate the US economy and bring jobs home, but it is also the case that some of the biggest companies have long struggled with a lack of skilled workers and poor quality products in their American factories. So what will Trump do differently? And, given that Taiwan and other parts of Asia have the secret sauce on creating high precision chips, is it even possible for the US to produce them too, and at scale? Semiconductors are central to powering everything from washing machines to iPhones, and military jets to electric vehicles. These tiny wafers of silicon, known as chips, were invented in the United States, but today, it is in Asia that the most advanced chips are being produced at phenomenal scale. Making them is expensive and technologically complex. An iPhone for example may contain chips that were designed in the US, manufactured in Taiwan, Japan or South Korea, using raw materials like rare earths which are mostly mined in China. Next they may be sent to Vietnam for packaging, then to China for assembly and testing, before being shipped to the US. TSMC [Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company] and Samsung have faced challenges with their investments [in America], including surging costs, difficulty recruiting skilled labor, construction delays and resistance from local unions. 'This isn't just a factory where you make boxes,' says Marc Einstein, research director at market intelligence firm Counterpoint. 'The factories that make chips are such high tech sterile environments, they take years and years to build.' And despite the US investment, TSMC has said that most of its manufacturing will remain in Taiwan, especially its most advanced computer chips. Today, TSMC's plants in Arizona produce high quality chips. But Chris Miller, author of *Chip War: The Fight for the World's Most Critical Technology*, argues that 'they're a generation behind the cutting edge in Taiwan. The question of scale depends on how much investment is made in the US versus Taiwan. Today, Taiwan has far more capacity.' The reality is, it took decades for Taiwan to build up that capacity, and despite the threat of China spending billions to steal Taiwan's prowess in the industry, it continues to thrive. TSMC was the

pioneer of the 'foundry model' where chip makers took US designs and manufactured chips for other companies. Riding on a wave of Silicon Valley start ups like Apple, Qualcomm and Intel, TSMC was able to compete with US and Japanese giants with the best engineers, highly skilled labor and knowledge sharing. 'Could the US make chips and create jobs?' asks Mr Einstein. 'Sure, but are they going to get chips down to a nanometer? Probably not.' " (*Trump's Chips Strategy: The US Will Struggle To Take On Asia* by Suranjana Tewari). America in the twenty first century is a land cursed by spiritual desolation. Before the red glowing sunset of a dying day, it has returned to a moral wilderness in which no human existence can be seen. Unable to confront, challenge and repulse an Asiatic army producing the world's wealth and high technology, America has descended into an evening land, decadent, degenerate and destroyed by its own hand.

RED ARMY IN ACADEMIA

Time: July 3-4, 1976. Location: Entebbe International Airport, Uganda. Action: Operation Thunderbolt, an Israeli counterterrorism operation launched in response to a hijacking. The week before, an Air France airliner, departed from Tel Aviv and en route to Paris, had been hijacked by an alliance of Islamists and Marxists: by the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, and the Revolutionary Cells based in Germany. The flight was diverted to Libya and then to Uganda, where it landed at the airport. When in Uganda, the terrorists were supported by the nation's leader Idi Amin. One hundred Israeli commandos achieved victory, rescuing 102 of the 106 hostages and destroying twenty five percent of Uganda's military aircraft. In the twenty first century, a hijacking has occurred which cannot be reversed. No counterterrorist operation can achieve victory because the hijackers are the ruling circles of the cultural establishment. They enjoy the overwhelming support of the people and are the faces of the West as it heads into extinction. This is how the West has ended, following in the footsteps of ancient Rome, as an establishment of decadence dirtied by radical mobs and their caesars. In the twenty first century, universities across the West have been hijacked by Marxist administrators, professors and students and flown into the Ugandan jungles, the Amin jungles, of moral bankruptcy. They have been flown into a nightmare world ruled by false gods and irrational philosophies nullifying the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, institutions of higher education and research, institutions awarding advanced degrees in academic disciplines, institutions whose name *university* is derived from the Latin phrase meaning a *community of teachers and scholars*, have fallen under the control of a global movement dedicated to the destruction of the two pillars supporting human existence: reason and freedom. In the twenty first century, today's universities have been transformed under mob pressure into communities of agitators and propagandists peddling the number one religion of the late Western age. In the twenty first century, today's universities have issued a manifesto of Marxism which has papered every classroom on campus as it has unleashed a torrent of hatred and violence in the streets. Listen to the manifesto and hear the death bell toll for the liberal tradition of knowledge transmission which encapsulated the progress of the West liberating the human mind and liberating the world. This manifesto of today's pseudouniversities, this public declaration advocating the malformation of students' minds, proclaims that a progressive university sacrifices scholarly discovery and academic excellence on the altar of apriori faith and ideological commands. A progressive university violates civil rights laws by treating students and faculty on the basis of race and gender. A progressive university has been captured by Marxism and has banished free inquiry and scientific standards to outer darkness. A progressive university rejects nondiscriminatory admissions, hiring and promotion of students, faculty, administrators and other personnel, gives preferential treatment on the basis of race, gender, religion and national origin, and discriminates in favor of women, nonwhites, migrants and Muslims. A progressive university allows black students and black

professors to commit acts of plagiarism and allows nobody else to do so. A progressive university rejects the use of grade point averages and standardized tests in assessing candidates for admission and proclaims that race and gender matter. A progressive university admits students opposed to Western liberal values, expressed in the Magna Carta, the 1628 Petition of Right Act, 1689 Bill of Rights Act, the United States Declaration of Independence and the United States Constitution, and admits students supporting Islamic terror and violent Jew hatred. A progressive university excludes the voices of freedom from the ranks of its students and employees, and subjects all candidates for admissions and employment to tests for Marxist acidity and selects only those who pass. A progressive university ensures that every faculty, department, field, teaching unit and administrative office marches in ideological lockstep and parrots the Marxist party line. A progressive university employs as deans of admissions deans of black, brown, female, Marxist and Islamic admissions. A progressive university is a Marxist beerhall harassing and beating up Jews and supporters of Israel because they represent the capitalist system. A progressive university discriminates against Jewish and Israeli students and professors because they are the defenders of Western liberal order, and deprives them of their academic freedom and their right to protest in the public square. A progressive university has an anticapitalist, antiliberal, antiEnlightenment and antiWestern curriculum promoting the creed of tribalism, and teaches courses on black studies, women's studies, queer studies, transgender studies and indigenous studies. A progressive university has established a single criterion, preference, policy and practice for all admissions and hiring: blacks, women, Muslims and Marxist need only apply. A progressive university is a centrifuge of fissile material whose isotopes are marked *gender*, *race* and *class*, and it establishes a critical mass of Marxist students, professors and administrators which will blow up classrooms, conferences, corporate suites and courts of law, and fill the culture with mental poison: "The United States has invested in Harvard University's operations because of the value to the country of scholarly discovery and academic excellence. But an investment is not an entitlement. It depends on Harvard upholding federal civil rights laws, and it only makes sense if Harvard fosters the kind of environment that produces intellectual creativity and scholarly rigor, both of which are antithetical to ideological capture. Harvard has in recent years failed to live up to both the intellectual and civil rights conditions that justify federal investment. But we appreciate your expression of commitment to repairing those failures and welcome your collaboration in restoring the University to its promise. We therefore present the below provisions as the basis for an agreement in principle that will maintain Harvard's financial relationship with the federal government. By August 2025, the University must adopt and implement merit based hiring policies, and cease all preferences based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin throughout its hiring, promotion, compensation, and related practices among faculty, staff, and leadership. Such adoption and implementation must be durable and demonstrated through structural and personnel changes. All existing and prospective faculty shall be reviewed for plagiarism and Harvard's plagiarism policy consistently enforced. All hiring and related data shall be shared with the federal government and subjected to a comprehensive audit by the federal government during the period in which reforms are being implemented, which shall be at least until the end of 2028. By August 2025, the University must adopt and implement merit based admissions policies and cease all preferences based on race, color, national origin, or proxies thereof, throughout its undergraduate program, each graduate program individually, each of its professional schools, and other programs. Such adoption and implementation must be durable and demonstrated through structural and personnel changes. All admissions data shall be shared with the federal government and subjected to a comprehensive audit by the federal government—and nonindividualized, statistical information regarding admissions shall be made available to the public, including information about rejected and admitted students broken down by race, color, national origin, grade point average, and performance on standardized tests—during the period in which reforms are being implemented, which

shall be at least until the end of 2028. During this same period, the dean of admissions for each program or school must sign a public statement after each admissions cycle certifying that these rules have been upheld. By August 2025, the University must reform its recruitment, screening, and admissions of international students to prevent admitting students hostile to the American values and institutions inscribed in the US Constitution and Declaration of Independence, including students supportive of terrorism or antisemitism. Harvard will immediately report to federal authorities, including the Department of Homeland Security and State Department, any foreign student, including those on visas and with green cards, who commits a conduct violation. As above, these reforms must be durable and demonstrated through structural and personnel changes; comprehensive throughout all of Harvard's programs; and, during the reform period, shared with the federal government for audit, shared on a nonindividualized basis with the public, and certified by deans of admissions. By August 2025, the University shall commission an external party, which shall satisfy the federal government as to its competence and good faith, to audit the student body, faculty, staff, and leadership for viewpoint diversity, such that each department, field, or teaching unit must be individually viewpoint diverse. This audit shall begin no later than the summer of 2025 and shall proceed on a department by department, field by field, or teaching unit by teaching unit basis as appropriate. The report of the external party shall be submitted to University leadership and the federal government no later than the end of 2025. Harvard must abolish all criteria, preferences, and practices, whether mandatory or optional, throughout its admissions and hiring practices, that function as ideological litmus tests." (Letter from Josh Gruenbaum, Thomas Wheeler and Sean Keveney to Alan Garber, April 11, 2025). In the 1920s, the University of Erlangen in Germany distinguished itself as a model national socialist institution and champion of the brownshirts. Its students, staff, lecturers and professors marched faithfully to the tune of nationalism, ethnicism, racism and antisemitism. In 1933 after Hitler's accession to power, swastika banners hung on the walls of Erlangen Castle, seat of the university administration. The new fuhrer of Germany described Erlangen as "the first national socialist university in the Reich." In the twenty first century, the banners of another religion hang on the walls of every university in the West. It is the progenitor of national socialism and forms the basis of the Western establishment. In the twenty first century, every university in the West expresses the soul of Erlangen and serves as a model of Marxism. Like Erlangen, its disciplines, curriculums, fields of study and academic journals promote a revolution of destruction and the return of the primitive.

AGITPROP SERVED HOT OR COLD

It is the world's largest coffeehouse chain. Its 40,000 stores located in eighty seven nations have led a global coffee culture for over a half a century since its founding in Seattle, Washington in 1971. Ranked 120th on the *Fortune 500* list of the 500 largest American corporations, and 303rd on the *Forbes Global 2000* list of the world's top 2000 corporations, Starbucks is famous for its wide variety of hot and cold beverages, from espressos to caffe lattes to teas to juices to smoothies to frappuccinos. But Starbucks serves more than these, much more, and in the twenty first century, it is among the world's top corporate leaders peddling this additional product line. In the twenty first century, this king of the coffeeshop industry peddles far left ideologies of all stripes and varieties, and has transformed its outlets into platforms for the dissemination of gender, race and class politics. In the twenty first century, Starbucks coffee shops double as employee soapboxes inveighing against Western Enlightenment values, and serving ideological beverages, hot and cold, which are harsh, bitter and caustic. In the twenty first century, this pioneer in the "coffee experience" has created additional experiences for its customers, the experiences of partaking in a world unique, commercial cafe crusade for communism, and has joined the ranks of global corporate titans in declaring global war against

freedom. In the twenty first century, Starbucks has shown just how sick the West is, just how far the cancer of Marxist ideas has advanced, by serving as private sector floor space for green aproned baristas dispensing hatred and rage roasted and ground. In the twenty first century, Starbucks has issued a manifesto to its customers and employees rejecting the principles of liberal democracy and worshiping the false gods of socialism and statism. Listen to the manifesto and hear the death bell toll in busy, bustling shops throughout the world for the cause of modern civilization. The Starbucks manifesto of mental and moral malaria proclaims that a progressive coffehouse stages far left political protests on its premises by its employees. A progressive coffeehouse stages employee protests against the deportation of illegal migrant scum invading from the sewers of the world. A progressive coffeehouse encourages employees to read out loud statements condemning Donald Trump's program to make America great again by making it free again of moral vermin swarming at the borders. A progressive coffeehouse stages moments of silence by its employees protesting the disinfection of the land by the expulsion of alien, undocumented, multiplying bacteria emanating from the East and the South. A progressive coffeehouse stages work stoppages for the cause of subversion. A progressive coffeehouse shows eternal solidarity with Islamic terrorists and Mexican drug gangs. A progressive coffeehouse serves communism with its multifarious coffee offerings and serves it free of charge. A progressive coffeehouse advocates that the West serve as a sanctuary for the world's most violent and dangerous criminals. A progressive coffeehouse supports open door immigration policies. A progressive coffeehouse enacts communism as its official corporate policy. A progressive coffeehouse is a lightless, airless, hermetically sealed environment reverberating with Marxist slogans, in which customers and staff encounter passions and prejudices agreeing with their own and with these alone: "We previously discussed how companies were barring political protests or paraphernalia at the workplace and how such rules are entirely enforceable. That made a recent story interesting when Starbucks workers across the country stopped working for a silent protest over the deportation of unlawful immigrants. Starbucks does not appear to be moving to stop such protests in the workplace, which is its prerogative. Customers, however, may find the extra shot of politics with their Mocha Java to be a bit much in the morning. The protest itself was rather anemic, with a work stoppage lasting just a few minutes as workers stood in silent solidarity with those being deported. It would ordinarily not be something that a commercial chain would relish. Prior polling shows that 83 percent of Americans support deportations of immigrants with violent criminal records and almost half support mass deportation of all undocumented persons. Even less pronounced polls reveal a majority supporting deportations generally with a third supporting deportations of all unlawful immigrants. Postings show Starbucks workers leaving shops or reading statements denouncing the Trump Administration’s deportation policies. The management either does not want to confront employees on the issue or supports the public opposition to the immigration policies. The question is how stockholders and the board view the protests as a corporate policy. The danger is that a company like Starbucks can become the BlueSky of coffee shops, serving a fraction of the population with high priced beverages to enjoy in a political echo chamber." (*Your Latte And Lesson Is Ready: Starbucks Employees Pause Service To Protest Immigration Policies* by Jonathan Turley). Starbucks in the twenty first century proclaims that a progressive coffeehouse is a Pride gathering promoting gay, lesbian, bisexual, queer and transgender politics. A progressive coffeehouse promotes Marxist gender and sexual politics in the name of celebrating personal experiences and uniqueness. A progressive coffeehouse is a Marxist outreach center. A progressive coffeehouse is a Marxist spectacle, featuring jackhammer poundings of Marxist marches, forests of Marxist flags, seas of Marxist uniforms and rays of Marxist pins, a spectacle showing Marxist power advancing across civilization: "Yes. Starbucks strives to support our partners in recognizing and celebrating the LGBTQIA2+ community year round, in addition to celebrating as part of Pride month. With more than 400,000 partners and 39,000 stores around the globe, we know a

person’s lived experience is unique to them and our moment to support and celebrate will also be unique to the communities we serve around the globe. We support our partners who celebrate during Pride by participating in local activities and marches, hanging flags in our stores, wearing designated Pride t shirts and apron pins and connecting directly with their customers through events and outreach, both in our stores and in communities." (*Does Starbucks Celebrate Pride In Its Stores?*, website). Starbucks in the twenty first century proclaims that a progressive coffeehouse is a community of lesbians, gays, bisexuals, queers and transgenders fighting to establish a Marxist world order. A progressive coffeehouse celebrates employees whose gender is nonbinary, who are both male and female and neither male nor female, and who are entitled to be referred to with indeterminate pronouns. A progressive coffeehouse is a Marxist sex and gender ideology support group celebrating Pride Day, Pride Week, Pride Month and Pride year in the name of the young, future generations, allowing people to live their own truths, and making people feel comfortable in their own bodies. A progressive coffeehouse pays the tuition of employees taking online classes promoting communist social revolution. A progressive coffeehouse pays the tuition of employees earning degrees in Marxist Studies dissimulated as Liberal Studies. A progressive coffeehouse pays the tuition of employees earning degrees in world revolution expressed in anticapitalist, antiEnlightenment Women, Gender and Sexuality Studies: "When June retired from a long civilian career with the U.S. Navy in 2015, she felt a mix of relief and uncertainty about her pending third act. That same year, at the age of 58, she also decided to come out to family and friends as a member of the LGBTQIA+ community. 'I have described the feeling to my inner circle as being able to finally breathe and go out into the world without fear, shame or apology,' June, who identifies as nonbinary and prefers she or they pronouns, wrote in a social media post at the time. For June, now a Starbucks partner (employee), Pride Month is a special time to remember what it means to live her truth. 'I just want people to feel comfortable in their own skin. I do celebrate in June. We put our little flag out in the yard. We do mostly just quiet things,' she says. Coming out later in life was not without its difficulties. June faced some backlash, but the support from her family was unwavering. 'My family’s very accepting and supportive. My exhusband and I are still good friends,' she says. 'We have a son together and a granddaughter. It’s been a blessing.' Eight years ago, June married the love of her life, Vera. While the two enjoy time to travel and relax, June found she wasn’t ready to stop working just yet. Through the Starbucks College Achievement Plan (SCAP), which covers 100% tuition upfront for partners to earn a bachelor’s degree through Arizona State University, June took online classes while working part time. Despite her own initial doubts and difficult courses that nearly derailed her at times, she found a way to thrive by leveraging the support provided by SCAP. 'The academic advisors and financial coaches were amazing. There was always someone to help me out.' Her persistence paid off. In early May, June was among more than 1,000 partners to graduate from ASU, receiving her degree in Liberal Studies with a minor in Women, Gender and Sexuality Studies. Choosing her area of study was a no brainer. She wants young people to have the knowledge and resources that she never had. 'I want to support LGBTQ+ youth next as a volunteer. Many get kicked out of their homes for being gay, which I can’t wrap my mind around,' she says. 'They need our support because we’re here to stay. I want future generations to feel worthy and accepted.' " (*Starbucks Partner June’s Journey To Pride: How A Retired Baby Boomer Found Joy And Acceptance In A Gen Z World* by Thanh Tan). From 1974 to 1991, the Warsaw Radio Mast was the tallest structure in the world. Soaring into the heavens at a height of 650 meters, it was designed to broadcast communist propaganda throughout the world, from Arabia to Antarctica, and the signals from its two megawatt transmitters raced deep into cosmic space. With tens of thousands of shops blanketing the earth, spread out in a horizontal net of mental control, Starbucks employs a different method of promoting the holy creed. It broadcasts communism not from high above but from wide across. Its mission is to be a global communist community, implanting the black gospel, not in single, high

voltage transmissions from radio towers, but slowly, locally, individually, low voltage, one person, one cup and one neighborhood at a time**:** "We inspire and nurture the human spirit–one person, one cup, one neighborhood at a time." (*Our Starbucks Mission*, website). Such is the aspiration of a coffee chain leviathan in the twenty first century.

MARXIST-MUSLIM AXIS HITS FRANCE

Time: 1936-1938. Place: France. People wearing balaclavas spread terror in the streets attacking Jews. These masked hoodlums are known as La Cagoule and they are the dark faces of national socialism in the nation. An offshoot of Hitler's Germany, they specialize in assassinations, bombings and sabotage, and two years after the dissolution of the group by the police, members migrate to Hitler's Vichy Government of 1940-1944. Less than a century after the fall of the Vichy Government and Hitler's Third Reich, La Cagoule is back in business, fighting under a different flag. The balaclavas are back, the methods are back, the shouting, disrupting, hounding, vandalizing and killing are back, but the swastika has been replaced by a new split flag representing Marxism and Islam. During the Third Reich, Hitler partnered with Islam in his war against the Jews, capitalism, the liberal Enlightenment and the West. In the twenty first century, Marxists partner with Islam for the same reason, and have restarted Hitler's gas chambers and relaunched Hitler's Holocaust. During the Third Reich, Hitler partnered with Muslim leaders to destroy the coming state of Israel. In the twenty first century, Marxists partner with Muslim leaders to destroy the eighty year reality of the liberal democratic, Western outpost state in the Middle East. In France in the twenty first century, La Cagoule under new management has issued a manifesto of Marxism and Jew hatred whose words show history spin round and repeat itself, and are symptoms of just sick the West has become and just how far the cancer of far left ideas has advanced across the culture. **La Cagoule.** The manifesto of the masked and hooded Marxists and Muslims sweeping across France proclaims that a progressive society kills the defenders of Israel because Israel is a racist, capitalist terror state. A progressive society seeks to abolish Israel and cleanse it of Jews and establish a Jew free and capitalist free Palestine. A progressive society is ruled by hymnists of hate and chants the antisemitic propaganda of the Third Reich. A progressive society dismisses university professors who condemn the establishment of a Palestinian terror state and condemn the new Islamic terror state of post Assad Syria. A progressive society organizes blockades of university campuses by Marxist and Muslim activists promoting Ramadan, radicalism and world revolution. A progressive society seeks the overthrow of Israel and liberal democracy in the name of Palestine, Hamas and Hezbollah. A progressive society promotes Islamic suicide attacks against the West. A progressive society silences as Islamophobic and sexist those who condemn Islamic suicide attacks if the attackers happen to be women. A progressive society is an Islamo-leftist society marching under the double red flag of the Soviet Star and the Crescent Moon. A progressive society promotes campaigns by Marxists and Muslims to disrupt public order. A progressive society prohibits professors critical of Marxism and Islam, and supporting Israel's right to exist as a free society, from teaching at universities. A progressive society is a religious society outlawing open debate and scientific inquiry and worshiping at altars marked *Gender, Race and Class Politics* and the *Prophet's Community of the Faithful*: "A French geographer was forced to leave his lecture by 20 masked and hooded proPalestinian students who called him a 'Zionist' and a 'terrorist' last Tuesday. Fabrice Balanche, a geographer who specializes in the Middle East, told *Le Point* on Friday that he had been giving a lecture on Euro-Mediterranean agreements at Lyon-2 University when the protesters burst into the room and began chanting and raising placards. 'I was giving a lecture in an amphitheater in front of about 50 students when a group of about 20 people entered, chanting, *Racists, Zionists, you're the terrorists*, and brandishing a sign saying, *For a free Palestine, no to ethnic cleansing*, Balanche told *Le*

Point. 'They were masked, wearing caps and hoods.' Balanche added that they surrounded the lectern and insulted him. 'It was violent, physical, even if there were no blows,' he said. 'Some brandished their phones to be able to film any possible slip up on my part. But I left the premises calmly, which disconcerted them.' Balanche said he did not know the protesters' identities; however, an Instagram account for the group Lyon-2 Autonome [Marxist] claimed responsibility. 'I went to look--this account compiles eight pages about me, which are worthy of the antisemitic propaganda of the Third Reich,' he said. The Instagram account for Lyon-2 Autonome claimed the group's actions were in protest of 'Balanche's unacceptable positions on Palestine and Syria' and to demand he stop teaching at the university. The comments on Syria appear to refer to Balanche's 2016 meeting with former Syrian leader Bashar al-Assad alongside parliamentarians. A group of more than 30 prominent French academics penned a letter condemning the incident on Saturday. The statement, published in *Le Point*, affirmed the academics' support for Fabrice Balanche, 'whose expertise on the Middle East and particularly on Syria is incontestable,' and urged the presidency of the University Lyon-2 to exclude the student protesters from the university 'if indeed they are members.' The incident came just one day after Balanche went on CNews to denounce a campus blockade on March 28. Activists held the blockade in protest against the university's ban on holding an iftar (the break of the Ramadan fast) in a university hall. According to Balanche, this marked the 'first Islamist blockade of a French university.' Asked why he thought he might be a particular target for such activists, Balanche told *Le Point* that 'I don't have a good reputation among these student activists because I don't advocate for Palestine, Hamas, or Hezbollah.' He added that 10 years after the Bataclan attack, he was asked to speak about Islamist attacks and chose to cover the topic of suicide attacks in Islam. 'I mentioned the case of women who commit suicide attacks,' he said. 'This didn't please a few students, who reported it to the university, accusing me of being Islamophobic and sexist.' 'I understood that, as a result of this, I would be in the Islamists' crosshairs. I wasn't mistaken,' he added. Balanche is set to resume classes tomorrow but will need a security presence to prevent future intrusions. Balanche said, 'The attackers I saw were Islamo-leftists, and Islamism has taken over.' In a joint statement on Friday, Phillipe Baptiste (Minister responsible for Higher Education and Research) and Elisabeth Borne (Minister of National Education, Higher Education and Research of France) said that the prohibition on breaking the fast on campus premises was within the rights of the university. 'The university, as it has the right to do, considered that holding this religious activity could cause a disturbance of public order,' the statement read. Baptiste held a separate interview with *Le Parisien* on Sunday, during which he said, 'Preventing a professor from teaching is extremely serious. These actions are the opposite of what a university should be: a place of debate and openness.' He reported that both Balanche and the university intend to file a complaint about the incident, which his ministry supports." (*'Zionist, Terrorist': Masked ProPalestinian Mob Forces French Geographer Out Of Lecture* by Mathilda Heller). **La Cagoule.** In the twenty first century, France proclaims that a progressive society is ruled by masked proPalestinian activists. A progressive society prohibits lecturers condemning Palestinian terror from speaking at universities. A progressive society is a totalitarian movement which has taken over academic institutions and placed them under the control of Marxism and Islam. A progressive society promotes acts of violence and intimidation against those who support capitalism, Israel and the West. A progressive society is an Islamo-Wokist movement promoting global terror attacks against the West and condemning capitalism as a regime of prejudice and discrimination against women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders and Muslims. A progressive society is a militant society promoting Marxist and Islamic revolution against Israel and the free world. A progressive society is ruled by cultural and research institutions dedicated to the dissemination of religion, the creed of Marx and Mohammed, and to the suppression of the language of reason, science and empiricism. A progressive society is an Islamo-leftist movement which rejects peaceful debate in favor of coerced belief and ideological lockstep. A progressive society is a

critical society critical of the Enlightenment tradition of building and organizing knowledge, and promoting the Marxist and Muslim tradition of indoctrination, mental conditioning and armed confrontation against the voices of dissent: "Last April, Fabrice Balanche, a lecturer and research director in political geography at the University of Lyon-2, was prevented from giving his lecture by the aggressive intrusion into the lecture hall by a group of masked proPalestinian activists. Violently booed, the lecturer refused to accept the confrontation by leaving the room. While he has not filed a personal complaint, Fabrice Balanche nevertheless expects the university administration to take its institutional responsibilities beyond its deploring of the situation and its verbal assurances to 'do everything possible to ensure it does not happen again.' This is indeed an incident symptomatic of the intimidation increasingly exerted on this university and on the academic institution in general by totalitarian inspired militants advocating revolutionary violence against the West and against Israel, considered its expression in the Middle East. This Islamo-Wokist movement, which has today gained an often dominant position in academic, research and cultural circles, no longer hesitates to physically prohibit the free expression of rational scientific discourse, as well as the conduct of peaceful debates." (*When Intimidation Replaces Debate: Support For Fabrice Balanche*, *La Point*, April 5, 2025). In the twenty first century, France is under attack. It is under attack by the voices of Muslim terror and jihad. It is under attack by Marxists forcing the people to parrot the Muslim party line. It is under attack by a red-red coalition of Muslims and Marxists whose masked henchmen have brought back La Cagoule.

DIVERSITY FOR ALL TIME

The Hydra was a monster in Greek mythology which lived in the lake of Lerna in southern Greece. It appeared in the form of a snake, had many heads, had poisonous breath and emitted a scent which could kill. Most terrifying of all, it was able to regenerate its heads, and for every head cut off, two more would grow back. In the twenty first century, in the former leader of the free world, a hydra is loose. In a land which has renounced its Enlightenment roots and its role, succeeding the British Empire, as the arsenal of freedom, a monster which will not die is on a rampage. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, a nightmare creature called *affirmative action* and *diversity, equity and inclusion* is alive and no Hercules can slay it because it has been installed into power by the mob. Civilized people can scarcely believe that America has become a racist and sexist nation but they know that a cancer has struck and spread into every sector of society. They know that when societies and civilizations enter a stage of decline, it is the rejection of reason and the embrace of religion which has started the process. This process defeated ancient Rome, a civilization reduced to ruins before the triumph of religions, the religions of Christianity, barbarism, public welfare and state worship, and it has presently defeated the West. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, the West has been under the influence of a religion which in all its manifestations has displaced the legacies of the Enlightenment. It is a sewer religion called Marxism, and it rules the cultural and academic establishment. Its cells are multiplying, proliferating and establishing outgrowths in every home, school and office in the West. This cancer has invaded every nation and spared nobody and nothing in its advance. It rules America as it rules Australia, and in the twenty first century, its race and gender offshoots control government, corporate and academic policies, and have rendered liberal jurisprudence to a dead letter. In America in the twenty first century, a Marxist hydra called *gender, race and class politics* is in control, and its policies, originally called *affirmative action* and *diversity and inclusion*, remain invincible in the face of resistance by courts, executive orders and public protests. Every setback this monster suffers is followed by a new appearance, every retreat by a new advance, and its course and development, fueled by overwhelming popular and establishment support, embodies the age old pessimistic proverb that the more things change, the more they remain the same. **Diversity for all time.** Look at America today and

see a shape shifting entity endlessly change its outer form, state and appearance in response to attacks, remain a fixed part of the nation's cultural and political landscape, and accompany the nation and accelerate it downward on its trajectory of collapse. See the DEI policy of discrimination and preference on the basis of race and sex, outlawed by the United States Constitution, rebranded, renamed, removed from website pages and placed out of sight behind computer firewalls. See colleges and universities promote discrimination in the basis of tribal membership in the name of civil rights. See them promote discrimination in the basis of tribal membership in the name of equal opportunity. See them promote discrimination in favor of blacks and women and the disenfranchisement of whites and males under banners marked *access*, *advocacy*, *belonging*, *community*, *compliance*, *inclusive excellence*, *institutional excellence*, *resilience* and *success.* See the Marxist program of black supremacy and feminist supremacy continued unabated, and the Marxist plan for the destruction of liberal civilization reach its final stage: "This investigation is intended to track the current state of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) offices and programming (names will vary) at colleges and universities. It is meant to identify which institutions currently have DEI offices, have shut them down, or appear to have renamed or rebranded their DEI offices and programming. To date, Defending Education has tracked 245 universities which still have institution wide DEI offices and/or programming in operation, 180 schools or colleges (such as Colleges of Education, Engineering, or Medicine, etc.), with an overall total of 403 currently active DEI offices and programming. Additionally, 28 institutions and schools/colleges appear to have renamed or rebranded their DEI offices, 18 universities have removed webpages and/or shut down DEI initiatives, and in a few cases, have moved the DEI webpage behind an institutional login. These cases are noted with an archived page of that institution’s former DEI page. While some, such as the University of Alaska Anchorage, seem to have completely shut down DEI operations; meanwhile, the University of Michigan has allegedly shut down its overarching Office of Diversity, Equity & Inclusion, yet schools such as Michigan Law and the LSA Department of Psychology still have active DEI pages. Unfortunately, it is often difficult to identify if a university has fully ended DEI programming. Therefore, the removal of institution DEI webpages is noted in the list section to track these changes. An additional goal of this report is to serve as a tool for identifying the various words and phrases used in place of DEI, or for the purpose of rebranding. In a few cases, institutions appear to have moved DEI staff and programming under its equal opportunity and civil rights offices. Some alternative DEI language examples: *Access. Advocacy. Belonging. Community. Compliance. Inclusive Excellence. Institutional Excellence. Resilience. Success*." (*University DEI: Status Quo And Rebrands*, Defending Education, website). **Diversity for all time.** Look at America today and see Michigan Law School promote discrimination against whites and males in the name of achieving flourishing, excellence and the advancement of the academy. See it restrict hiring and admissions of students, faculty and staff to blacks, hispanics, women, gays, lesbians, transgenders, Muslims, Marxists, the mentally disabled and the poor: "At Michigan Law, we view our commitment to diversity, equity, and inclusion as central to our mission as an educational institution and we seek to ensure that each member of our community has full opportunity to thrive in our environment. We believe that diversity is key to individual flourishing, educational excellence, and the advancement of knowledge and we maintain a deep commitment to fostering a diverse community in which all students, staff, and faculty learn and work in an atmosphere of inclusion and respect. Diversity is expressed in myriad forms, including race and ethnicity, gender and gender identity, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, language, culture, national origin, religious commitments, age, (dis)ability status, and political perspective." (*Diversity, Equity and Inclusion*, University of Michigan website). **Diversity for all time.** Look at America today and see the University of Georgia promote discrimination against whites, males, people with European backgrounds and people opposed to Marxism in the name of achieving inclusion, belonging, an

equitable system, a strong community able to rebound from defeat, and diversity of thought and experience. See it promote racism, sexism, Marxist catachisms, and blind ideological lockstep in the selection of students, staff and clients in the name of achieving critical thinking, meaningful dialogue, and personal and social growth, wellbeing and success: "We honor the legacy of our namesake, Mary Frances Early, by creating a community of inclusion and belonging: one where every member can succeed, is appreciated for the unique experiences they bring, and feels safe showing up authentically. We strive to build a community where diversity of thought, experience, and background fosters meaningful dialogue, critical thinking, and growth for ourselves and the communities we support. Our College encompasses a wide range of disciplines that are focused on supporting the wellbeing and success of individuals, organizations, and communities. Inclusion and belonging are key to shaping the way educators, healthcare professionals, and innovators serve, support, and advocate for their students, clients, and patients. These values help create stronger, more resilient, and more equitable systems in our fields. The Mary Frances Early College of Education is committed to being intentional in our work by continuing to educate ourselves, examining our practices and policies to identify barriers to inclusion, and advocating for change." (*Office of Inclusion and Belonging*, Mary Frances Early College of Education, University of Georgia website). **Diversity for all time.** Look at America and see Kansas State University promote race and gender discrimination in the name of achieving access and opportunity. See it promote race and gender discrimination in the name of achieving a welcoming atmosphere and empowering and emboldening women and nonwhites. See it promote the exclusion of whites and males in the name of enriching the academic environment and learning community. See it establish a race and gender based family, promote black backgrounds and experiences and female backgrounds and experiences, and exclude male experiences and white experiences, in the name of the new *Volk*: "Kansas State dedicates itself to providing access and opportunity to those who desire to work hard and earn a degree. We build and enrich environments and learning communities where people of a variety of backgrounds and lived experiences can thrive and contribute to the engagement and growth of all. We live by the purple rule: Family comes first. For K-Staters, that means a firmly held dedication to fostering a welcoming atmosphere in which everyone feels supported and empowered to take intellectual risks." (*Access and Opportunity*, Kansas State University, website). Racist, sexist, tribalist diversity policies are rooted in late Western civilization. They are accepted and believed by the decadent masses and intellectuals. They have captured the hearts and minds of the ruling circles in government, academia and business corporations. The West cannot return to Enlightenment values promoting individual merit and equal treatment for all because it is regressing back to the year zero. Diversity policies will end when the West ends and when a thousand years have passed after the poison of diversity has lifted.

THE MUSLIM WEST

They are ubiquitous. One celled, free living and micrometers in length, they were the first life forms to appear on earth and are present in every habitat. They are present in the air, soil, water and in the deep biosphere below the surface of the earth. When organisms die, they bring about the stage of putrefaction. They live in a parasitic relationship with plants and animals. They are the fastest reproducing organisms on earth, doubling every twenty minutes, and reaching into millions in short periods of time. They are called bacteria and their origin, character and behavior serve as models and analogies to understand a fearsome human development occurring in the West in the twenty first century. This development is a symptom of a process of civilizational collapse and has counterparts in other ages of civilizational collapse. Fifteen hundred years ago, ancient Rome allowed itself to be felled by primitive, multiplying, free living, parasitic bacteria called Christians, barbarians, and welfare

worshiping, state worshiping, emperor worshiping mobs. Fifteen hundred years ago, the dead civilization of the Classical world began putrefying at the hands of these bacteria. Fifteen hundred years ago, the pagan order of reason descended into final decomposition and breakdown through the action of bacteria rejecting reason and promoting forms of religion in its place. The rejection of reason is the cause of the decline and fall of civilization, as it was in ancient Rome, as it is in the West today, and as it will be for all time to come, and it is an unchanging truth that whenever people en masse abandon the faculty which enables man to survive and flourish in a manner different from the animals, their society descends into the jungles of spiritual bankruptcy. The rejection of reason causes bacteria to invade, thrive and produce the methane gas of moral insanity which blows up civilization. In the twenty first century, the West has allowed itself to be attacked by bacteria coming from the East. This bacteria is present everywhere in the culture, in every family, home, school and office, and constitutes a sizable voting bloc in councils, courts and parliaments. It reproduces at lightning speed in logarithmic figures and has overwhelmed streets and neighborhoods. Allowed to settle in the West by a domestic strain of bacteria which has made common cause with it, it is bringing about the putrefaction of the the dead body of civilization. The domestic strain of bacteria is called Marxism, the foreign strain of bacteria is called Islam, two terrifying products of the abandonment of reason, two deadly symptoms of the collapse of civilization, the first worshiping Society on earth and the second worshiping Allah in heaven, and these two enemies of human flourishing have brought one thousand years of Western history, commencing 1000 AD upon the ruins of ancient Rome, to a finish. In the twenty first century, the Marxist bacteria has composed a manifesto in defense of the Muslim bacteria and its right to rule over society. Listen to it and hear the death bell toll for the institutions of the Enlightenment, the institutions of reason, science, empiricism, secularism, individualism and constitutional government, which banished savagery and superstition in distant lands wherever they were allowed to take root. The Marxist manifesto for a resurgent Islam proclaims that a progressive society has its capital cities under the control of Islam. A progressive society hosts a Muslim Amsterdam, a Muslim London, a Muslim Paris, a Muslim Marseilles, a Muslim Stockholm, a Muslim Malmo, a Muslim Copenhagen, a Muslim Brussels, a Muslim Detroit and a Muslim Oslo. A progressive society has Muslims in control of suburbs. A progressive society has zones of Muslim power which are off limits to law enforcement. A progressive society has Muslim enclaves where civilized people dare not walk in broad daylight. A progressive society hosts Muslim territories whose alien forms are unrecognizable to decent, law abiding citizens. A progressive society is ruled by sharia law. A progressive society deprives women of their civil rights. A progressive society places women under the control of their husbands and fathers. A progressive society allows Muslims to place free neighborhoods under a state of siege. A progressive society allows Muslims to beat up nonMuslim men and rape nonMuslim women. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim prayers, blessings, congregations, sacraments and religious services. A progressive society is ruled by mosque preachers and sermonizers. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim city councils. A progressive society has Muslim city property. A progressive society kills homosexuals. A progressive society is a brotherhood of Marxists and Muslims. A progressive society is an extremist Hezbollah society. A progressive society is a Muslim community with Muslim apartments, houses, schools and offices. A progressive society is a Muslim center teaching a creed of violence and terror. A progressive society is a Muslim experience in which infidels are killed, women without hijabs are killed, and dogs forbidden by Muslim law are killed. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim Europeans and Muslim Americans. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim families and Muslim faith. A progressive society recognizes no cities except Muslim cities, no states except Muslim states, no governors except Muslim governors, and no citizens except Muslim citizens. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim majorities imposing Muslim law on everyone. A progressive society is a militant, murderous House of Islam. A progressive society is a Muslim House of War. A progressive society is a

Muslim madrass and faith based school. A progressive society is ruled by Muslim personnel with a Muslim plan to destroy secular civilization. A progressive society prohibits nonMuslims from living together. A progressive society has planned the future of the West, and it is a antiWestern, antiEnlightenment, Muslim future: "It's happened all over Western Europe. In Amsterdam, several neighborhoods, including the Oud-West, De Pijp, and De Baarsjes, are now heavily Muslim. So are Molenbeek, a Brussels suburb, and Gruddalen, the vast valley that forms much of the eastern half of Oslo. Four out of ten people in Tower Hamlets, London, are Muslims, as is nearly thirty five percent of the population of Luton. Several of the *banlieues,* or suburbs, of Paris are no go zones; Marseille is about one third Islam. The Rinkeby district of Stockholm is heavily Muslim, as are parts of Malmo, not to mention the Norrebro neighborhood of Copenhagen. Many of these areas can fairly be described as Muslim enclaves. Regular police stay out of some of them, leaving it to the Islamic moral police to patrol the streets, as in Iran, keeping their eyes out for Muslim girls or women who are violating sharia law. In these areas, many women are as tightly controlled by their fathers or husbands as they would be in their home villages back in the old country. Yes, there are nonMuslim residents in these neighborhoods, but their numbers are steadily dwindling. They live in an everintensifying state of siege. It's dangerous for them to come home at night. At school, their sons are beaten up and their daughters are sexually harassed. Every day, they're reminded who's in charge, and it's definitely not them. More than many other people in Europe, they can see the continent's future very clearly. Needless to say, it's an Islamic future. This phenomenon isn't entirely absent from the United States. The Detroit suburbs of Dearborn and Dearborn Heights, with a total population of about 170,000, are both majority Muslim; on January 20 of this year, Dearborn's most prominent imam was scheduled to give a benediction at the inauguration, but was disinvited at the last minute when it was discovered that–surprise!–he had a long history of extremism, including participation at a proHezbollah event. Another Detroit suburb, Hamtramck, with a population of about 27,000, elected the country's first all Muslim city council a full decade ago. Leftists in Michigan, laboring under the delusion that Muslims are fellow members of the great progressive brotherhood, were delighted with this accomplishment–until 2023, that is, when the council banned rainbow flags from municipal property. Of course, rainbow flags are the least of what Muslims will ban as their power grows. Which is why we should all be worried about EPIC City, Texas. I'd never heard of it until just a few weeks ago. Since then, I've heard about it again and again. Maybe the word hasn't gotten to you yet. If not, here you go. EPIC City, according to an April 3 report by Caroline Vandergriff of CBS News, is a proposed 'Muslim community' that is a project of the East Plano Islamic Center (hence the name EPIC) in partnership with a real estate firm called Community Capital Partners. The plan is to construct 'a thousand homes, a mosque, apartments, a school and more' on 402 acres in Collin and Hunt counties near the town of Josephine, about an hour northeast of Dallas. Since the initiative was announced last year, the leaders of the Islamic Center have hired Dan Cogdell, a leading Houston lawyer, 'to help them navigate multiple state investigations' that were ordered by Governor Greg Abbott. In reaction to Abbott's criticism of the EPIC City venture, Cogdell told Vandergraff that his clients 'aren't foreign adversaries' but 'Texans.' 'Americans.' 'United States citizens.' And their only goal, he contended, 'is to build a community that allows them to live together with people who value family and faith.' Yes, that's what they want to do–but it's not *all* that they want to do. They want to do Molenbeek and Tower Hamlets and Groruddalen one better. They want the *total* Muslim experience: no *kafirun* (infidels) with their uncovered wives and daughters and *haram* puppy dogs. " (*Jihad, Texas Style* by Bruce Bawer). The twenty first century has seen clashes of cultures which have lowered the curtain on liberal democracy. Emanating from the Western street, Marxist hordes have voted liberal democracy out of power and installed a false god called *Gender, Race and Class Politics* upon the throne of absolute power. Emanating from the Arab street, hordes of Muslims have been allowed to settle in the West by Marxists

and impose the gospel of the Prophet on the people. Marxist materialists and Muslim spiritualists have joined forces in a war to overthrow reason, common sense and the Enlightenment. Under the tutelage of Marxists, the West has been overrun by Islam and descended into a new Dark Age.

THE SON OF COMMUNISM

In 33 AD in the Roman province of Judaea, a Jewish preacher and religious leader named Jesus was nailed to a cross. He had been tried and convicted by a Jewish court called the Sanhedrin on the charge of proclaiming himself the king of the Jews and the incarnation of Jehovah, and was sentenced to death by Roman prefect Pontius Pilate. Every nail pounded into the cross pounded out a new religion which would terrorize the world for the next two thousand years in its various manifestations. This religion was communism and Jesus, an itinerant psychotic who proclaimed himself to be the Son of God, was its first voice. When Jesus was crucified, the sun went dark, Jesus shouted out to his God, the Jewish Temple collapsed, the saints rose from the dead, an earthquake shook the land, and from the depths of the apocalypse a religion of universal enslavement was born. Listen to the Messiah and Christ set forth the tenets of the new faith denying the rights of man. **Jesus.** Listen to Jesus condemn freedom as a regime of money and advocate service to society under God: "No one can serve two masters. Either he will hate the one and love the other, or he will be devoted to the one and despise the other. You cannot serve both God and Money." (*Matthew* 6:22). Listen to Jesus proclaim that the free person who has achieved success with his freedom is doomed to eternal damnation: "Then Jesus said to his disciples, I tell you the truth, it is hard for a rich man to enter the kingdom of heaven. Again I tell you, it is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the kingdom of God." (*Matthew* 19:23-24). Listen to Jesus proclaim that those who do not renounce their freedom will descend into eternal hellfire. Listen to Jesus advocate communism in the name of helping the poor, the hungry, the thirsty, the ragged, the criminal, the diseased, the dirty and the degenerate: "Then he said to those on his left, Depart from me, you who are cursed, into the eternal fire prepared for the devil and his angels. For I was hungry and you gave me nothing to eat, I was thirsty and you gave me nothing to drink, I was a stranger and you did not invite me in, I needed clothes and you did not clothe me, I was sick and in prison and you did not look after me. They answered: Lord, when did we see you hungry or thirsty or a stranger or needing clothes or sick or in prison, and did not help you? He replied, I tell you the truth, whatever you did not do for one of the least among you, you did not do for me." (*Matthew* 25:41-45). Listen to Jesus proclaim that the love of freedom is crass materialism and the root of all evil: "People who want to get rich fall into temptation and a trap and into many foolish and harmful desires that plunge men into ruin and destruction. For the love of money is a root of all kinds of evil. Some people, eager for money, have wandered from the faith and pierced themselves with many griefs." (1 *Timothy* 6:9-10). Listen to Jesus condemn freedom lovers as arrogant merchants of greed. Listen to him advocate communism in the name of generosity, sharing and enjoying wealth in the world to come: "Command those who are rich in this present world not to be arrogant nor to put their hope in wealth, which is so uncertain, but to put their hope in God, who richly provides us with everything for our enjoyment. Command them to do good, to be rich in good deeds, and to be generous and willing to share. In this way they will lay up treasure for themselves as a firm foundation for the coming age, so that they may take hold of the life that is truly life." (1 *Timothy* 6:17-19). Listen to Jesus condemn freedom as a regime of robbers and money changers and advocate a life of slavery in the communist house of prayer: "And Jesus entered the temple and drove out all who sold and bought in the temple, and he overturned the tables of the money changers and the seats of those who sold pigeons. He said to them, It is written, ‘My house shall be called a house of prayer,’ but you make it a den of robbers." (Matthew 21:12-13). Listen to Jesus condemn freedom as a regime of oppression and advocate

communism in the name of the poor man: "But you have dishonored the poor man. Are not the rich the ones who oppress you, and the ones who drag you into court?" (*James* 2:6). Listen to Jesus advocate universal love, brotherhood and the sacrifice of ourselves to our neighbors: "We should not be like Cain, who was of the evil one and murdered his brother. And why did he murder him? Because his own deeds were evil and his brother's righteous. Do not be surprised, brothers, that the world hates you. We know that we have passed out of death into life, because we love the brothers. Whoever does not love abides in death. Everyone who hates his brother is a murderer, and you know that no murderer has eternal life abiding in him. By this we know love, that he laid down his life for us, and we ought to lay down our lives for the brothers." (1 John 3: 12-22). Listen to Jesus condemn freedom as a regime of heartlessness, brutality, dishonesty, treachery, abuse, arrogance, materialism, hedonism and conceit, stemming from the unmitigated evil of the love of self. Listen to him advocate communism in the name of goodness and godliness: "But understand this, that in the last days there will come times of difficulty. For people will be lovers of self, lovers of money, proud, arrogant, abusive, disobedient to their parents, ungrateful, unholy, heartless, unappeasable, slanderous, without self control, brutal, not loving good, treacherous, reckless, swollen with conceit, lovers of pleasure rather than lovers of God, having the appearance of godliness, but denying its power. Avoid such people." (2 *Timothy* 3:1-9). **Jesus's Followers.** Now listen to Jesus's followers pay homage to their master. Listen to Cuban leader Fidel Castro exalt Christianity as the precursor of Marxism. Listen to him exalt Christianity as a precursor of the Marxist system of selflessness, enslavement to one's neighbor and world socialist revolution. Listen to him exalt Christianity as a precursor of the Marxist system of empowerment of the class of the ignorant, uneducated, unskilled, untalented, unsuccessful and discontented proletariat. Listen to him exalt Christianity as a precursor of the Marxist system of hatred and expropriation of the rich. Listen to him exalt Christianity as a precursor of the Marxist system of feeding the poor from wealth stolen from the rich. Listen to him exalt Christian stories, lessons and gospels as justifications of modern communist revolution. Listen to him exalt Jesus's teachings and sayings advocating communism as early statements of the new church of communism. Listen to him exalt the Christian plan to overthrow freedom in the Sermon on the Mount as a precursor of Cuba's, Castro's and Che's plan of communist totalitarian dictatorship. Listen to him proclaim that Christian saints are communist leaders and communist leaders are Christian saints. Look at Pope Francis give and exchange gifts and converse amicably with a communist mass murderer named Fidel Castro: "Pope Francis met with aging revolutionary communist Fidel Castro on Sunday [September 20, 2015] in Castro's Havana home, where they chatted 'informally' about 'protecting the environment and the great problems of the contemporary world.' according to Vatican Radio. And Castro had a gift for Francis—a copy of *Fidel And Religion*—a book based on 23 hours of interviews between him and Frei Betto, a Brazilian Dominican friar. The focus of the book is Castro's views on 'two of the most important historic wellsprings of man's thinking and emotions—Christianity and Marxism.' In his book, Castro sees 'great coincidence between Christianity's objectives and the ones we communists seek, between the Christian teachings of humility, austerity, selflessness and loving thy neighbor and what we might call the content of a revolutionary's life and behavior.' 'Christ didn't choose the rich to preach the doctrine; he choose 12 poor ignorant workers—that is he chose the proletariat of the times. At times I've referred to Christ's miracles and have said, Well, Christ multiplied the fish and the loaves to feed the people. That is precisely what we want to do with the revolution and socialism.' He offers his interpretations of other parables and says the Sermon on the Mount 'cannot be given any interpretation other than what you call the option for the poor. I believe Karl Marx could have subscribed to the Sermon on the Mount.' On compatriot in communist revolution Ernesto Che Guevera, whose image loomed over Revolution Square where the pope offered Mass on Sunday, Castro says, 'If Che had been a Catholic, if Che had belonged to the Church, he would probably have been made a saint.' Francis gave Castro several

books, including one by Italian priest Alessandro Pronzato and another by Spanish Jesuit Segundo Llorentea. The pontiff also gave him a book and two CDs of his homilies, as well as his two encyclical letters, *Lumen Fidei* and *Laudato Si*." (*Christ, Marx And Che: Fidel Castro Offers Pope His Religious Views* by Cathy Lynn Grossman). Listen to Pope Francis promote the common ownership of all goods. Listen to him proclaim that the wealth of the rich is wealth stolen from the poor. Listen to him justify communism in the name of providing for the needy. Listen to him proclaim that stealing from the rich and giving to the poor is necessary to ensure the dignity of the poor. Listen to him proclaim that there is no such thing as private property and all property belongs to all people existing in a single mystical whole: "In the first Christian centuries, a number of thinkers developed a universal vision in their reflections on the common destination of created goods. This led them to realize that if one person lacks what is necessary to live with dignity, it is because another person is detaining it. Saint John Chrysostom summarizes it in this way: 'Not to share our wealth with the poor is to rob them and take away their livelihood. The riches we possess are not our own, but theirs as well.' In the words of Saint Gregory the Great, 'When we provide the needy with their basic needs, we are giving them what belongs to them, not to us.' " (*Fratelli Tutti*). On Easter Sunday, April 20, 2025, Pope Francis died. Outside the Church of our Lady of Lourdes in Rio de Janeiro, worshiper Rosane Ribeiro described the pope: "As a priest, he got up every day to pray for the world. and died at a marvelous and beautiful time, worthy of him." Faithful followers of Jesus, the pope's Vatican comrades and Christians throughout the world pray for the coming of world communism.

TRUMP VERSUS HARVARD

An isolation tank is a light proof, sound proof, pitchblack, salt water filled environment heated to skin temperature. The subject floating inside sees, hears and feels nothing and has no thermal sensations. The subject is locked inside a mental chamber and experiences a phantasmagoria impervious to all influences from the outside world. The tank is used in alternative medicine as a treatment for muscle tension, chronic pain and rheumatoid arthritis. In the twenty first century, in the former leader of the free world, the oldest, most influential and most prestigious institution of higher education in the nation has become a mental deprivation tank which has shut down rational thought. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, at Harvard University, in an illustration of just how sick the West has become, and just how far the cancer of far left ideas has advanced across society, freedom of conscience has been stampeded to death by a monoculture demanding ideological lockstep, and has rendered the wealthiest university in the world, with an endowment of over 50 billion dollars and the largest academic library in the world, with over 20 million volumes, morally unrecognizable. On April 11, 2025, Donald Trump ordered that federal money to Harvard University be halted on the grounds that Harvard is no longer Harvard but exists in name only, and has betrayed its founding mission, encapsulated in its motto *Veritas*, to disseminate truth and knowledge through a liberal education, and has become an isolation tank swimming with images of Marxist jackboots, bayonets, blood and gore. Donald Trump ordered federal money to Harvard halted because it rejects merit based hiring and admissions and enforces a policy of discrimination on the basis of race, gender and other group characteristics. This policy of discrimination is justified in the name of diversity, inclusion and a special, higher form of fairness, a form transcending ordinary, common sense notions of fairness, a form of unfairness called *equity.* Trump ordered federal money halted because Harvard admits international students supporting Marxist terror, Muslim terror and Jew hatred. He ordered federal money halted because Harvard admits international students dedicated to overthrowing the United States Constitution and the Declaration of Independence. He ordered federal money halted because Harvard prohibits intellectual diversity among students, staff and faculty and prohibits the expression

of antiMarxist viewpoints. He ordered federal money halted because Harvard allows Marxist and Muslim students to disrupt scholarship, research, teaching and campus life, to deplatform the voices of the opposition, and to occupy and vandalize buildings. Look at the Harvard Donald Trump is confronting and see a center of university agitprop whose manifesto has sounded the death knell for the Harvard, the America and the West of yesteryear. The twenty first century Harvard manifesto proclaims that a progressive university rejects the liberal principle of merit and establishes admissions policies discriminating against white males in the name of achieving diversity, equity and inclusion. A progressive university rejects the liberal principle of viewpoint diversity and requires faculty and students to parrot the Marxist gender, race and class party line. A progressive university is run by proHamas students, activists and professors. A progressive university is run by violent antisemites. A progressive university affirms that taxpayers have a moral and legal responsibility to subsidize Marxist, Muslim and antisemitic activism on campus. A progressive university champions taxpayer subsidized Marxism, Muslim and antisemitic rabble rousing in the name of academic freedom and independence. A progressive university agrees to establish racist and sexist affirmative action programs in exchange for public money. A progressive university agrees to allow psychotic male students who claim that sex chromosomes do not exist and that they are transgender women or real women access to women's facilities and to women's sports in exchange for federal money. A progressive university is a tribal jungle inhabited by blacks, latinos, Asians and Pacific Islanders, scalping whites as trophies to communist power: "The Trump administration’s fight with elite universities might be its toughest yet because it’s about money—federal research funds that have been given to major universities for decades. On the most recent podcast of *The Drill Down*, host Peter Schweizer approves of the effort: 'We say that’s a good thing.' President Donald Trump’s budget hawks seek to cut wasteful spending, but that is only part of their goal. What they really want is to see elite universities return to merit based admissions and viewpoint diversity in faculty and students, an end to campus antisemitism, and elimination of socalled diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts. Columbia University, scene of violent, antisemitic riots by proHamas students and activists, has complied with many of the administration’s new rules for receiving continued federal grant funding. But the biggest one of all is pushing back—Harvard is fighting fiercely. In fact, Harvard just announced it was suing the federal government late Monday afternoon, claiming the government has cut off funds 'as part of its pressure campaign' to force the university 'to submit to the government’s control over its academic programs.' Harvard’s president Alan Garber issued a statement rejecting the administration’s conditions, citing academic freedom and independence. Yet, as Schweizer notes, the school has always acquiesced to federal stipulations regarding affirmative action programs, ethnic and racial diversity, equity, and inclusion before. The truth is that they simply don’t like *these* particular stipulations. Schweizer says, 'It used to be that universities had to show they were doing diversity programs to get funds.' In the same way, it is hard to argue on the principle of independence when many of the same schools knuckled under to the Obama and Biden administrations’ pressure to allow male students who claimed to be transsexual in order to compete in women’s sports. As Eric Eggers notes, the latest class admitted to Harvard as undergraduates breaks down as 14 percent African American, 37 percent Asian American, 20 percent latino, 16 percent international, and one percent Pacific Islander. That suggests that, at most, 16 percent of the freshman class is composed of white Americans, who comprise 58 percent of the total US population." (*Schweizer: Harvard Fights To Continue Racial Discrimination*, *Breitbart News*, April 23, 2025). Harvard in the twenty first century proclaims that a progressive university is proud to have a zero free speech rating because creating religious conformity when the religion is Marxism is far more important than allowing freedom of speech. A progressive university promotes Marxist and Muslim terror campaigns in the name of academic freedom. A progressive university promotes campaigns of violence and intimidation against Jews, targets Jews from snipers' nests in campus encampments, and

makes Jews afraid to walk to class, in the name of academic freedom. A progressive university from its highest administrative levels, from the office of the president, advocates prejudice against Jews leading to genocide in the name of academic freedom. A progressive university violates the civil rights of Jewish students in the name of academic freedom. A progressive university affirms its right to receive tax dollars to subsidize Muslim Klan rallies promoting Jew hatred, Jew lynching, social retrogression, the reversion of society to the steppe lands, and the overthrow of Enlightenment civilization: "On Tuesday's broadcast of the Fox Business Network's *The Bottom Line*, Representative Kevin Kiley (R-CA) stated that the academic freedom complaints about the Trump administration going after Harvard's funding are curious coming from Harvard given the school's abysmal free speech ratings. Kiley said, 'It's especially rich to see likes of President Obama and the university itself now talking about academic freedom and the like. Where was this high mindedness when Harvard was ranked dead last out of 251 colleges when it came to protecting free speech on campus? A rating of zero. And then where was this high mindedness when Harvard was really the epicenter of fueling this modern resurgence of one of the world's most retrograde and ancient prejudices, this unbelievable, abhorrent resurgence in antisemitism and all of the associated unlawful activity that we saw on campus, the encampments, the intimidation so that Jewish students didn't even feel safe walking to class? We had a number of them come and talk to us in the House. And where was it, by the way, when President Gay refused to even denounce, before our committee, efforts calling for genocide on campus, and, in fact, the university stood by her right up until she finally had to be removed for an unrelated reason, which was plagiarism?' He added, 'Harvard is saying that we do all of this important research, which is true. There is a lot of important research that's being done there. But that doesn't mean we should just ignore the massive civil rights violations and antisemitism that exists on that campus. Imagine if they were having Klan rallies on the campus, would we say, well, we're still going to provide federal funding because you do important research? No, of course, not. We would say, there is no way that any institution that allows this, that propagates it should receive a penny of tax dollars from the federal government.' " (*GOP Representative Kiley: It's 'Rich' To Hear Harvard Complain About Academic Freedom, They Were Ranked Worst On Free Speech* by Ian Hanchett). John Harvard was a seventeenth century English Puritan minister in colonial New England. In 1636, two years before he died, a college called Newtowne was founded by the Massachusetts Bay Colony. When he died, he left one half of his estate and his 400 volume library to the new college, the largest gift given to the new college. In gratitude, Newtowne College changed its name to Harvard College. In Harvard Yard today sits an 1884 bronze sculpture of John Harvard by Daniel Chester French. At the dedication of the statue, George Ellis stated: "He gazes for a moment into the future, so dim, so uncertain, yet so full of promise, promise which has been more than realized." In the twenty first century, John Harvard watches the school he helped to found and sees into a dark future. He looks steadily, filled with terror, at the hermetically sealed chamber which is Harvard today and contemplates the spectacle of the suicide of civilization.

HIS ROYAL MAJESTY: ISLAM

It all started in 1644 with Roger Williams. Puritan minister and founder of the state of Rhode Island and the First Baptist Church in America, he advocated "a wall or hedge of separation between the wilderness of the world and the garden of the church," and started a movement central to the English and Enlightenment notions of liberty. It was a movement demanding religious freedom and toleration and the separation between church and state. Rejecting the Christian notion of the state as the "city of God," the English and Enlightenment liberals smashed through the barbed wire fence surrounding freedom of conscience constructed by the Catholic Church during the Dark Ages, and championed the existence of free minds. Listen to the voices of free minds down through the English centuries and hear

the bells toll for the greatest cause of all time: the English and Enlightenment cause of human freedom and flourishing. Listen to John Locke proclaim that religion has no official place in civil affairs and all politics and government must be secular in character: "It is not my business to inquire here into the original of the power or dignity of the clergy. This only I say, that wherever their authority be sprung, since it is ecclesiastical, it ought to be confined within the bounds of the Church, nor can it in any manner be extended to civil affairs, because the Church itself is a thing absolutely separate and distinct from the commonwealth." (*Letter On Toleration*). Listen to Thomas Jefferson proclaim that good government is that which stays away from the realm of opinion. Good government is that which imposes no official belief system and constructs an impenetrable wall of separation between church and state: "Believing with you that religion is a matter which lies solely between man & his God, that he owes account to none other for his faith or his worship, that the legitimate powers of government reach actions only & not opinions, I contemplate with sovereign reverence that act of the whole American people which declared that their legislature should 'make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof,' thus building a wall of separation between Church and State." (*Jefferson's Letter To The Danbury Baptists*, January 1, 1802, United States Library Of Congress). In the twenty first century, in the nation which commenced the global liberal movement opposed to enforced religion, the clock has been set back by the hands of the mob and is racing into the year zero. In the twenty first century, in the nation which gave the world the "liberty of soul" first proclaimed by Roger Williams, religion has made a comeback and become resurgent and pervasive. It is not the old time religion of the Christian Church but is a religion invading from the East. In the twenty first century, in an illustration of just how sick the West has become and just how far advanced the cancer of far left ideas has advanced across society, Britain has been conquered by Islam, allowed through its borders and into its families, homes, schools and offices by another religion, a domestic religion, spread across the nation. In Britain in the twenty first century, two religions have achieved overwhelming influence, brought about by the mass rejection of reason, the terrifying cause of civilizational collapse throughout the ages. In Britain in the twenty first century, a religion worshiping a god on earth called Society, a religion called Marxism, has reached out to Islam whose god is Allah in heaven, and made common cause with it in its fight to overthrow the institutions of the Enlightenment. In Britain in the twenty first century, a mass religion arising in the East and multiplying in the gutters of society has formed an alliance with another mass religion arising in the West and multiplying in the gutters of society. In Britain in the twenty first century, the Marxist cultural and political establishment has composed a manifesto of Muslim power and the Islamification of the nation, and to read it is to hear the death bell toll for the greatest cause of all time: the English and Enlightenment cause of human freedom and flourishing. The Marxist manifesto for the destruction of Britain as a free nation at the hands of Islam proclaims that a progressive society allows unrestricted Islamic immigration. A progressive society allows Muslims to sexually exploit children. A progressive society is terrorized by dangerous Muslim criminals. A progressive society installs Muslims at every level of government, and has made ministers, mayors, secretaries and civil servants fifth columns of a movement of Muslim world revolution. A progressive society has installed Muslims in high places, and from its home offices, its prosecution services, its police services, its education services, its children's services and its hospital services, it fires snipers' bullets at people, places and institutions. A progressive society has effected a fundamental cultural replacement, banishing the voices of Western liberal Enlightenment and replacing them with the voices of Islamic savagery and superstition. A progressive society has been overrun by nonWestern, antiWestern immigrants who marry young and reproduce like bacteria. A progressive society is a movement of overthrow at the hands two armies. It is the Marxist overthrow of freedom and the Muslim overthrow of freedom. A progressive society condemns as racists, xenophobes and white supremacists all those who advocate vetted immigration and the assimilation of migrants to

Western, liberal, secular values. A progressive society advocates the subversion of free institutions through a process of Islamization. A progressive society is a violent, jihadist society killing nonMuslims by the millions and transforming every square centimeter into a theater of war: "It's said that one reason why the British government is so reluctant to address the grooming gangs horror, so hesitant to cut down on Islamic immigration, and so incapable of expelling even the most dangerous Muslim criminals, is that the government itself has been heavily infiltrated by Muslims. I'm not just talking about the people in high profile posts, such as Shabana Mahmood, Lord Chancellor and Secretary of State for Justice; Humza Yousaf, First Minister of Scotland; Sadiq Khan, Mayor of London; the dozens of Muslims in Parliament; or the Muslim mayors of Birmingham, Leeds, Sheffield, Blackburn, Oxford, Luton, Oldham, and Rochdale, among other cities. I'm also talking about Muslims in the Civil Service and Home Office, in the upper echelons of the police services, Crown Prosecution Services, and other such agencies. And let's not forget the estimable Sir Hamid Patel, who just last month was named chair of the Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted). At first I was reluctant to believe that there are quite so many British Muslims in positions of power as some people maintain. Then something like the following happens, and it seems a hell of a lot easier to believe. What happened is this: Renaud Camus, the 78 year old French philosopher, author, and intellectual, was banned from entering the UK. Who, you may ask, is Renaud Camus? Well, back when the world was young, Camus was what the French call a 68er–a radical on the barricades, the Gallic equivalent of an American hippie, a bookish lad playing at revolution. He was also a leading figure in France's gay rights movement. His 1979 novel *Tricks,* a chronicle of intimate same sex liaisons, was a bestseller and a critical sensation. The critic Roland Barthes gave it his imprimatur. Gore Vidal praised it in the *New York Review of Books*. But no, Camus isn't being kept out of Britain because of any of that. *Tricks,* and the civil unrest of May 1968, are, after all, long ago and far away. Nor is Camus (no relation, by the way, to Albert Camus, the Nobel Prize winning author of *The Stranger* and *The Plague*) being punished for having been an active member of the Socialist Party in the 1970s and 80s. How many French intellectuals, after all, weren't socialists in the 1970s and 80s? Nor is Camus–a man of wide and deep learning–being banned for having accumulated more diplomas than you can fit on a single wall, including degrees in French literature, philosophy, political science, and the history of law. No, Camus's offense is having published the 2011 book *Le Grand Remplacement* (*The Great Replacement*), which warned that Europe was undergoing, as the title put it, a great replacement–a massive influx of nonWestern immigrants who, owing to early marriages and high reproductive rates, were gradually taking the place of native Europeans who were marrying late, if at all, and, in most cases, having no more than one or two children. It's curious, but not terribly surprising: when Camus was a callow student who preached a Marxist overthrow of French society, he was a youth hero, lionized in the intellectual press; when he grew older–and grew up–and realized that his eminently civilized country was in real danger of being overthrown by an appallingly uncivilized enemy within, and spoke out about it in an effort to preserve his society, he became widely anathematized as a xenophobe, a racist, and a white supremacist. As the *Nation* put it in the headline of a 2019 article by James Mcauley, *How Gay Icon Renaud Camus Became The Ideologue Of White Supremacy*. In a conversation with Mcauley, Camus insisted that his views hadn't really changed: in both *Tricks* and *Le Grand Remplacement,* he said, he was out to tell truths even though they might make some people uncomfortable. The process of Islamization has been essentially the same in France as in its neighbors; the ever rising numbers don't lie; and the end to which all of this is leading has been obvious for years to ordinary citizens without an ideological ax to grind." (*A Great Replacement–And A Great Disgrace* by Bruce Bawer). *Punishment is not imposed for the good of the person punished, but for the public good in order that others may become terrified and weaned away from the evils they would commit*. Thus states the 1578 edition of the *Directorium Inquisitorum*, for centuries the standard

manual of the Catholic Inquisition. The imposition of terror. The control of the mind. The shackling of people born in freedom and dignity. Religions throughout the ages have perpetrated these acts. In Britain in the twenty first century, the spirit of Roger Williams has been hurled into the dustbin of history. A new inquisition is back in town with two magistrates presiding: Marxism and Islam.